

TARGIT Calculations Expert

Level: Expert



TARGIT Decision Suite 2019.0 – document version 4.6 US

www.targit.com



Copyright

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying or recording, for any purpose, without the express written permission of TARGIT A/S, Denmark.

 \bigcirc 2019 TARGIT A/S, Denmark. All rights reserved, including the right of reproduction in whole or in part, or in any form.



TARGIT A/S

Gasværksvej 24

DK-9000 Aalborg

Denmark

Phone: (+45) 9623 1900

Telefax: (+45) 9623 1999

E-mail: info@targit.com Internet: <u>http://www.targit.com</u>



Contents

Introduction	7
Lesson 1: Cross Table References and Calculations	9
Summation / Recap	9
Demo	11
A simple Analysis	11
An advanced Analysis	13
More Calculations	15
Calculations as a new measure	16
Direct reference to dimension member value	20
Exercises Lesson 1	21
Lesson 2: Operators, the 4 th parameter and count/allcount	25
General purpose	25
Summation / Recap	25
Demo	
lf-then-else	
A "nested" If-then-else	27
The 4 th parameter	
Count and Allcount	
Rank and Median	33
Exercises lesson 2	34
Lesson 3: Reference Modifiers – Visibility and Order	37
General purpose	37
Summation / Recap	37
Demo	38
Visibility Modifiers	38
Order Modifiers	
Exercises lesson 3	41
Lesson 4: Reference Modifiers – Hierarchy	45
General purpose	45
Summation / Recap	45
Demo	46
Child Modifier	46
Ragged Hierarchy Modifier	49
Siblings Modifier	



Level Modifier	
Levels with multiple dimensions on the same axis	54
Exercises lesson 4	
Appendix	57
Extra exercise	57
Extra exercise – continued	
Functions in the Targit Syntax	60
Result modifiers	61
Dimension structure and naming	
TARGIT formula syntax	63
Arithmetic operators	63
Boolean operators	63
Other operators	64
Aggregation functions	64
Element reference modifiers	
Template metadata	



Introduction

As a TARGIT Calculations Expert, you can really use the full potential in TARGIT.

You will be your company's number-cruncher by offering everyone in the organization clear insight into complicated data. First and foremost, you will be able to make dynamic ad-hoc calculations, which you can use for optimizing your intelligent Agents, comparisons and other active functions that give everyone in the organization the courage to act.

Prerequisite

You need to be thoroughly versed in TARGIT, minimally equivalent to the TARGIT Fundamentals course. You will get the most out of the course if you have worked with your own data for a period of time.

Goal

- After completing the course, you will be able to:
- Make advanced user-defined calculations
- Expand the boundaries for creativity in TARGIT

Course subjects

- References in cross tables
- Calculations with advanced formula syntax
- Reference filters
- Many practical exercises that will equip you to fill the expert role



Lesson 1: Cross Table References and Calculations.

In this lesson you will learn the **general syntax for referencing columns, rows and individual cells** within a Cross table.

All the standard **operators** and **functions** will be introduced including the use of some of them.

During the demo it will be shown how **mastering calculations** can help to **provide additional information** and to **enhance the overview** of information in a coherent Analysis.

Summation / Recap

- The general syntax for Cross table references are:
 - **sum([x range], [y range], [m range]),** where x refers to columns, y to rows and m to measures.
- **Absolute** x and y references:
 - E.g. d1, d2, d3 etc. counting columns from top-to-bottom or rows from left-to-right.
 - E.g. **d-1, d-2, d-3** etc. counting columns from bottom-to-top or rows from right-to-left.
- **Relative** x and y references:
 - E.g. -2, -1, 0, 1, 2 etc. zero refers to current column/row, negative integers refer to previous columns/rows and positive integers refer to subsequent columns/rows.
 - E.g. in a calculation of difference between 2 columns the row reference will be 0 – meaning the calculation must be done in the current row.
 - E.g.. in a calculation of totals per column the column reference will **be 0** meaning the calculation must be done in the current column.
- **Dimension** x and y references:
 - E.g. @"[Reseller].[Denmark]" refers to the column/row with the dimension values "Reseller" and "Denmark" as first and second levels respectively in a hierarchical dimension. Using this reference you also need to pay attention to use of upper- and lowercase.



- Measure references:
 - E.g. **m1, m2, m3** etc. referring to the first, second, third etc. of the inserted measures.
 - **O** referring to the current measure (e.g. calculating a total on different measures
- Reference **ranges**:
 - E.g. **d1:d-3** or **m1:m3** use two references separated by a colon to define a range of columns, rows or measures.
 - Reference ranges can of course also be **relative**, e.g. **-2:0** meaning a **range** starting 2 columns/rows back and up to the current column/row
 - A "*classical*" reference range could be an **accumulation**: **d1:0** meaning from the first column/row to the current or reverse **d-1:0** meaning from the last column/row to the current.



Demo

The demo in Lesson 1 will demonstrate how to add customized calculations to Cross tables. In the demo we will make use of some of the available aggregation functions and operators.

During the course of building a coherent Analysis we will touch on some of the aspects of referencing cells in a Cross table:

- absolute references
- relative references
- reference ranges


A simple Analysis

A very simple analysis, without calculations and thus without the possibility to highlight important data issues, is not very helpful in providing useful information as will be demonstrated in the first part of this demo.

Start TARGIT BI Suite and create a new Analysis, **Revenue Analysis**, consisting of three objects:

- A Cross table, Profit per Product Hierarchy (Product) by Time Hierarchy (Year).
- An Area chart, Profit per Time Hierarchy (Quarter).
- A Map, Profit per Customer Country (Country).





Apply the global criteria **Time = 2015 and 2016. Product = JEANS.**

Although this is indeed a coherent Analysis (you can apply Drill down criteria from one object to the others) it is **not very successful** in supplying us with useful information upon which we can base our decisions.


The Analysis can be **dramatically enhanced** by applying calculations and a few features based on those calculations.



An advanced Analysis

In this part of the demo the basic aspects of referencing columns, rows and measures are demonstrated by applying a calculation.

 Add a calculation, **Trend**, to the Cross table. Trend is calculated as the difference between the last two columns (2015 and 2016) in the Cross table:



- The formula sum(d-1, 0, m1) sum(d-2, 0, m1) will subtract the values in the second last column (2015) from the values in the last column (2016).
- Add a Growth calculation, defined as the development (the Trend) expressed as a percentage of the second last column (2015): sum(c1, 0, m1) / sum(d-2, 0, m1).
- Select the added Growth calculation to change the number format to **Percent**.
- Add a **Color Agent** to emphasize a negative or positive Growth:






Now the Crosstab should look like this:

		Profit per Product by Time	9				
Color and Ga	auge Agents		Total	+ 2015	+ 2016	Difference	Growt
III Growth	Positive values	Total	\$27,105,358.99	\$12,699,361.16	\$14,405,997.83	\$1,706,636.67	13.44
Growth	Zero	Levis 501, Black	\$503,130.93	\$266,070.46	\$237,060.47	(\$29,009.98)	-10.90
Growth	Negative valu	Levis 501, Blue	\$3,891,596.92	\$2,012,762.04	\$1,878,834.88	(\$133,927.15)	-6.65
orowar	reguire valu	Levis 501, White	\$632,374.32	\$307,241.26	\$325,133.06	\$17,891.80	5.82
		Levis, Blue XXL	\$510,868.28	\$207,217.68	\$303,650.60	\$96,432.92	46.54
		Levis, Lime XL	\$2,689,468.56	\$1,221,305.27	\$1,468,163.29	\$246,858.02	20.21
		Lewis 502, White	\$5,032,195.08	\$2,212,492.25	\$2,819,702.82	\$607,210.57	27.44
		Marlboro Classic, Brown	\$7,750,917.68	\$3,611,677.26	\$4,139,240.42	\$527,563.16	14.61
		Marlboro Classic, Sand	\$6,094,807.23	\$2,860,594.95	\$3,234,212.28	\$373,617.33	13.06

- Copy the Cross table (CTRL+C and CTRL+V).
- In the copied cross table, exchange the Product dimension with the Customer Country(Country) dimension.
- Change the object type to Map. (Delete the old Map object.).



Ready

A Map object will always display the values of the *last* column in the underlying cross table, and this is why we are seeing the red and green colors expressing negative an positive Growth respectively on the map.The area chart is useful for Drill Down selections of interesting intervals of periods to be analyzed.


Now the rather useless Analysis has become a **strong platform for decisionmaking**, simply by adding a calculation and, as in this case, enhancing visibility with a Color Agent based on that calculation.



More Calculations

The last part of the demo will demonstrate reference **ranges** and **relative** references.

- Add a Bar chart to the Profit Analysis, Profit per Time Hierarchy (Month).
- Add the following calculations to the Bar chart (all as a single column):
 - Average = avg(d-1, all, m1) (demonstrating the all reference range)
 - Accumulated average = avg(d-1, d1:0, m1) (demonstrating an absolute reference range)
 - **3 mth average = avg(d-1, -2:0, m1)** (demonstrating a relative reference)
- Change visualization for each of the three calculations to a Line.
- The final result should now look something like this:





Calculations as a new measure

In the third part of the demo we will work with calculations as a new measure and referencing a certain dimension value.

- Create a new Cost analysis with a crosstab showing Costs and No of Sales per Salesperson and by Product Hierarchy(Product group).
- Add global criteria Time = 2015.

Salesperson Costs No of Sales Costs	Time 2015		*									
Salesperson Costs No of Sales Deviation S10,882,643.98 5,045 \$5,693,187.15 2,164 \$1,791,112.64 678 \$3,381,584.71 2,188 \$16,759.48 1 Deviation Aharo Bennett \$278,693.79 116 \$115,930.91 39 \$74,903.22 23 \$67,294.66 54 1 Advano Bennett \$57,241.67 18 \$7,112.95 2 \$28,813.56 7 \$31,815.16 9 1 \$407,243.99 404 \$(3,134.94) 1 \$67,241.67 18 \$57,112.93 23 \$407,243.99 4044 \$(3,134.94) 1 \$60,873.92 11 \$407,233.99 4044 \$(3,134.94) 1 \$60,873.92 115 \$407,233.99 4044 \$(3,134.94) 1 \$60,873.92 115 \$407,243.99 4044 \$(3,134.94) 1 \$60,873.92 115 \$407,243.99 4044 \$(3,134.94) 1	ons Costs and No of	Sales per Salesperson k	y Product Hiera	archy								
Total \$10,882,643.98 5,045 \$5,693,187.15 2,164 \$1,791,112.64 678 \$3,381,584,71 2,188 \$16,759.48 1 Deviation Alvaro Bennett \$278,693,79 116 \$115,930,91 39 \$74,903,22 23 \$87,859,66 54 Deviation Annunziate Singh \$5,249,23 \$ \$2,28,313,56 7 \$31,815,16 9 Annunziate Singh \$57,241,67 18 \$7,112,95 2 \$28,313,56 7 \$31,815,16 9 \$407,243.99 404 \$(3,13,4,94) \$17,703,743,99 404 \$(3,13,494) \$17,773,733 \$18,703,703 \$17,703,713 \$17,770,714,713	esent.	Total		+ JEANS		+ SHIRTS		+ T-SHIRTS		+ (JNDERWEAR	
Total \$10,882,643,98 50,45 \$5,693,187.15 2,164 \$1,791,12,64 678 \$3,38,158,47,1 2,188 \$16,759,48 1 Deviation ted Sum ted Sum ted Average e Alvaro Bennett \$278,693,79 116 \$115,930,91 39 \$74,903,22 23 \$87,859,66 54 Deviation ted Average e Anuncaides Signet Barret Forster \$14,92,278,85 898 \$548,199,83 370 \$239,969,98 123 \$407,243,99 404 (\$3,134,94) Deviation ted Average e Fortunato Crawford \$26,52,375,29 1040 \$1,312,313,82 452 \$398,728,27 115 \$941,333,20 473 Jessika Thornton \$66,975,32 27 \$15,80,000 6 \$14,51,000 33 \$38,633,22 18 Justen Cattringht \$300,550,98 196 \$103,949,44 61 \$57,708,44 28 \$152,775,9 13 \$59,18,01 Justen Cattringht \$320,50,98 196 \$103,949,44 61 \$57,708,44 28 \$152,775,9 13 \$59,18,01	Salesperson	Costs	No of Sales	Costs	No of Sales	Costs	No of Sales	Costs	No of Sales	Costs	No of Sales	
Derivation ted Sum ted Sum ted Average Annunziata Singh \$5,249.23 5 \$5,249.23 5 Arjuna Bolton \$67,241.67 18 \$7,112.95 2 \$239,969.98 123 \$407,243.99 404 (\$3,134.94) renet ted Average set ted Average Barret Forster \$1,492,278.85 898 \$848,199.83 370 \$239,969.98 123 \$407,243.99 404 (\$3,134.94) renet ted Average Fortunato Crawford \$2,652,375.29 10.40 \$1,312,313.82 452 \$398,728.27 115 \$941,333.20 473 verage Juniper Peabody \$1,675.00 1 516,757.00 3 \$38,853.32 18 werage Juniper Peabody \$1,675.00 1 516,77.08,44 28 \$152,77.50 103 \$5,918.01 ues Start Ross \$107,898.87 39 \$22,105.33 5 \$30,250.63 7 \$53,372.91 26 \$2,170.00 ues Start Ross \$107,898.87 39 \$22,105.33 5 \$30,250.63 7 \$53,372.91 26 \$2,170.00 ues Start Ro	Total	\$10,882,643.98	5,045	\$5,693,187.15	2,164	\$1,791,112.64	678	\$3,381,584.71	2,188	\$16,759.48	15	
ted Sum Annulazia singi 37,243,23 3 32,243,23 3 ted Average Ajuna Bolton 67,241,67 18 57,112,95 2 52,8313,56 7 53,1815,16 9 ted Average Barret Forster \$1,492,278,85 898 \$848,199,83 370 \$239,969,98 123 \$407,243,99 404 (5,134,34,4) recent Fortunato Crawford \$2,652,375,29 1,040 \$1,312,313,82 452 \$398,728,27 115 \$941,333,20 473 verage Juniper Peabody \$1,675,00 1 57,708,44 28 \$152,775,09 103 \$5,918,01 ues Juniper Peabody \$107,898,87 39 \$22,105,33 5 \$30,250,63 7 \$53,372,91 26 \$2,170,00 ues Juniper Peabody \$107,898,87 39 \$22,105,75 378 \$30,250,63 7 \$53,372,91 26 \$2,170,00 sted Member Count Liuphold Winnew \$1,717,316,12 746 \$102,757,59 378 \$32,200,98 109 \$45,127.50 17 sted Value Count Sinple		\$278,693.79	116	\$115,930.91	39	\$74,903.22	23	\$87,859.66	54			
Index Average Arjuna Bolton \$67,241.67 18 \$7,112.95 2 \$23,93.56 7 \$3,181.51.6 9 Barret Forster \$1,492,278.85 898 \$848,199.83 370 \$239,969.98 123 \$407,243.99 404 \$(\$3,114.94) Green Fina Tellwright \$57,119.07 17 \$26,20.95 6 \$16,0500 3 \$14,778.12 8 Gent Jassia Thomton \$56,975.32 10.40 \$1,312,313.82 452 \$398,728.27 115 \$941,333.20 473 Verage Justen Carwright \$320,350.98 196 \$103,949.44 61 \$57,708.44 28 \$152,775.09 103 \$5,918.01 Uses Justen Carwright \$320,350.98 196 \$10,25,775.93 378 \$322,200.98 109 \$563,472.00 12 \$100,00 \$563,972.01 25,775.00 103 \$5,918.01 Use Keren Rose \$107,076,82 37 378 \$322,000.80 109 \$563,942.66 256 \$0.000 <td></td> <td>h \$5,249.23</td> <td>5</td> <td>\$5,249.23</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		h \$5,249.23	5	\$5,249.23	5							
Barret Forster \$1,492,278.85 898 \$48,199.83 370 \$239,969.98 123 \$407,243.99 404 \$(3,134.94) rcent Fina Tellwright \$57,119.07 17 \$26,20.95 6 \$16,050.00 3 \$14,778.12 8 rent Fortunato Crawford \$26,623,375.29 1,040 \$1,312,313.82 452 \$398,728.27 115 \$941,333.20 473 verage Juniper Peabody \$1,675.00 1 51,675.00 1 werage Juniper Peabody \$1,675.00 1 \$530,250.63 7 \$533,72.91 26 \$2,170.00 aed Member Count tultpold Whyman \$1,71,781.23 746 \$10,25,757.59 378 \$222,003 7 \$533,72.91 26 \$2,170.00 tlculation Madelina Hewitt \$60,582.98 20 \$43,512.37 9 \$17,70.62 11 tlculations Magig Waren \$152,069.66 41 \$68,72.12 \$16,95.77.08.41		\$67,241.67	18	\$7,112.95	2	\$28,313.56	7	\$31,815.16	9			
dex Final Hemily, International Synth 19:00 10 2202 2003 0 3 10,10,100 3 11,11,100 11 dex Fortunato Crawford 520,220,372 1,00 10 120,220,303 10 10,00,000 13 11,110 11 11 120,220,303 10 10,00,000 13 11,110 11 11 120,220,303 11 11 10,00,000 13 11,110 11 11 11 11 11 10,00,000 13 11,110 11 <th11< th=""> 11 11<td></td><td>\$1,492,278.85</td><td>898</td><td>\$848,199.83</td><td>370</td><td>\$239,969.98</td><td>123</td><td>\$407,243.99</td><td>404</td><td>(\$3,134.94)</td><td>1</td><td></td></th11<>		\$1,492,278.85	898	\$848,199.83	370	\$239,969.98	123	\$407,243.99	404	(\$3,134.94)	1	
ent Fortunato Crawford \$2,622,375.29 1,040 \$1,312,313.82 452 \$398,785.27 115 \$941,333.20 473 verage mbers les Jessika Thornton \$68,975.32 27 \$15,830.00 6 \$14,510.00 3 \$38,635.32 18 verage mbers les Justen Cartwright \$320,350.98 196 \$103,949.44 61 \$57,708.44 28 \$152,775.09 103 \$5,918.01 les Keren Rose \$107,898.87 39 \$22,105.33 5 \$302,200.98 109 \$369,405.66 256 \$2,000 lculation Madelina Hewitt \$60,582.98 20 \$43,512.37 9 \$17,070.62 11 lculation Madgine Waren \$152,069.66 41 \$68,972.16 15 \$38,150.00 9 \$45,127.50 17. lcalulations Maggie Waren \$152,069.66 41 \$68,792.16 15 \$38,150.00 9 \$45,127.50 17. lcalulations Nicolie Bramble \$33,403.45 12	Fina Tellwright	\$57,119.07	17	\$26,290.95	6	\$16,050.00	3	\$14,778.12	8			
a Jessika Thomaton \$568,975.32 27 \$15,830.00 6 \$14,510.00 3 \$36,835.32 18 wingee makers ass ass ass ass ass ass Juniper Peabody \$1,675.00 1 5 51,675.00 1 Juniper Peabody \$1,675.00 1 5 \$17,708.44 28 \$152,775.09 13 \$5,918.01 Justen Cartwinght \$302,350.89 196 \$103,949.44 65 \$30,250.63 7 \$53,372.91 26 \$2,170.00 eed Member Count ed Value Count (Laldation \$107,898.87 39 \$22,105.33 5 \$30,250.63 7 \$53,372.91 26 \$2,170.00 Calculations Maggie Waren \$50,582.98 20 \$43,512.77 978 \$25,260.00 1 \$22,233.45 8 \$2,170.00 are to analysis Opaline Webster \$39,403.45 12 \$9,120.00 2 \$15,878.00 1 \$47,539.35 18 \$2,170.00 / Pareto analysis Opaline Webster \$39,403.45 12 \$9,120.00<	Fortunato Craw	ord \$2,652,375.29	1,040	\$1,312,313.82	452	\$398,728.27	115	\$941,333.20	473			
Inders ises Justen Cartwright \$32,0350.98 196 \$10,25,759 103 \$5,918.01 ises Justen Cartwright \$320,350.98 196 \$10,25,75.99 378 28 \$152,77.59 103 \$5,918.01 ises Madelina Hewinght \$320,350.98 196 \$10,25,75.59 378 \$322,200.98 109 \$58,47.291 26 \$2,170.00 ed Value Count (valuation Lutpold Whyman \$17,77,68.43 746 \$10,25,75.59 378 \$322,200.98 109 \$58,40,226 256 \$0,000 calculations Maggie Warren \$152,069.66 41 \$68,792.16 15 \$38,150.00 9 \$45,127.50 17 calculations Maggie Warren \$152,069.66 41 \$68,792.16 15 \$38,650.00 1 \$22,853.45 8 \$2,170.00 areto analysis Opaline Webster \$39,403.45 12 \$17,270.60 1 \$47,539.35 18 Areto analysis Opaline Webster \$39,403.45 15 \$10,280.00	Jessika Thornton	\$68,975.32	27	\$15,830.00	6	\$14,510.00	3	\$38,635.32	18			
jes Justen Cartwright \$320,350.98 196 \$103,99.44 61 \$57,708.44 28 \$122,750.9 103 \$53,918.01 ed Member Count Rulation Keren Rose \$107,898.87 39 \$22,105.33 5 \$30,250.63 7 \$533,372.91 26 \$2,170.00 Lutpold Whyman \$17,17,81.23 746 \$102,575.75 378 \$322,200.98 10 \$536,402.66 25 \$0.00 Calculation Maggie Waren \$152,069.66 41 \$68,792.16 15 \$38,150.00 9 \$45,127.50 17 Calculations Maggie Waren \$152,069.66 41 \$68,792.16 15 \$38,150.00 9 \$45,127.50 17 Calculations Maggie Waren \$152,069.66 41 \$68,792.16 15 \$38,150.00 9 \$45,127.50 17 Calculations Maggie Waren \$34,03.45 12 \$9,120.00 2 \$52,60.00 1 \$22,853.5 8 \$2,170.00 Pareto analysis Regena Wilder \$82,808.26 26 \$30,008.91 7 \$5,260.00 1	Juniper Peabod	\$1,675.00	1					\$1,675.00	1			
ed Member Count ed Value Count (Value Count (Value Count (Value Count) Keren Rose \$107,898.87 39 \$22,105.33 5 \$30,250.63 7 \$53,372.91 26 \$2,170.00 ed Value Count (Value Count (Value Count) Luitpold Whyman \$1,717,361.23 74 \$1,025,757.59 378 \$322,200.98 109 \$369,402.66 256 \$50.00 calculations Maggie Warren \$152,069.66 41 \$68,792.16 15 \$38,150.00 9 \$45,127.50 17 areto analysis Opaline Webster \$39,403.45 12 \$91,200.00 2 \$52,600.00 1 \$47,539.35 8 \$2,170.00 / Parto analysis Opaline Webster \$39,403.45 15 \$91,200.00 2 \$52,600.00 1 \$47,539.35 8 \$2,170.00 / Parto analysis Regena Wildred \$55,506.10 15 \$10,280.00 2 \$15,780.00 3 \$29,446.11 10 Sampers Walton \$51,617.426.84 878 \$595,153.30 427 \$2,270.00 15 \$	Justen Cartwrig	t \$320,350.98	196	\$103,949.44	61	\$57,708.44	28	\$152,775.09	103	\$5,918.01	4	
edi Value Count Iculation Luitpold Whyman \$1,717,361.23 746 \$1,025,757.59 378 \$322,200.98 109 \$369,402.66 256 \$3.00 Iculation Madelina Hewitt \$60,582.98 20 \$43,512.37 9 517,070.62 11 calculations Magig Warren \$152,069.66 41 \$68,792.16 15 \$38,150.00 9 \$\$17,070.62 11 calculations Magig Warren \$319,205.09.66 41 \$68,792.16 15 \$38,150.00 9 \$\$12,750.00 17 \$22,853.45 17 \$310.00 9 \$41,525.00 1 \$22,853.45 8 \$2,170.00 / Pareto analysis Opaline Webster \$39,403.45 12 \$30,000.91 7 \$5,260.00 1 \$47,539.35 18 / Pareto analysis Regena Wilder \$52,60.610 15 \$10,280.00 2 \$15,780.00 3 \$29,446.11 10 Sanjeev Walton \$51,761.428 878 \$595,153.01 427 \$232,591.491 15 <td>mber Count Keren Rose</td> <td>\$107,898.87</td> <td>39</td> <td>\$22,105.33</td> <td>5</td> <td>\$30,250.63</td> <td>7</td> <td>\$53,372.91</td> <td>26</td> <td>\$2,170.00</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>	mber Count Keren Rose	\$107,898.87	39	\$22,105.33	5	\$30,250.63	7	\$53,372.91	26	\$2,170.00	1	
Madeina Hewitt Sol, Sa2, Se ZO S43, S12, S7 G S1/10/10, Z2 I1 calculations Maggie Warren \$50, S62, Se ZO \$43, S12, S7 G \$1/10/10, Z2 11 calculations Maggie Warren \$52, 069, 666 41 \$68, 792, 16 15 \$38, 150, 00 9 \$45, 127, 50 17 areto analysis Opaline Webster \$39, 403, 45 12 \$9, 120, 00 2 \$52, 600, 0 1 \$22, 853, 45 8 \$2, 170, 00 / Pareto analysis Regena Wilder \$82, 808, 26 26 \$30, 008, 91 7 \$5, 260, 00 1 \$47, 539, 35 18 / Pareto analysis Regena Wilder \$52, 550, 50, 10 15 \$10, 280, 00 2 \$15, 780, 00 3 \$29, 446, 11 10 Savannah Morell \$54, 824, 92 17 \$33, 724, 48 7 \$52, 260, 00 1 \$13, 905, 44 8 \$1995, 00 Savannah Morell \$54, 824, 92 17 \$33, 724, 48 7 \$52, 260, 00 <td< td=""><td></td><td>in \$1,717,361.23</td><td>746</td><td>\$1,025,757.59</td><td>378</td><td>\$322,200.98</td><td>109</td><td>\$369,402.66</td><td>256</td><td>\$0.00</td><td>3</td><td></td></td<>		in \$1,717,361.23	746	\$1,025,757.59	378	\$322,200.98	109	\$369,402.66	256	\$0.00	3	
Calculations Nicolle Bramble \$34,1\$1.31 8 \$24,482.32 5 \$7,855.47 2 \$1,813.52 1 areto analysis Opaline Webster \$39,403.45 12 \$9,120.00 2 \$5,260.00 1 \$22,853.45 8 \$2,170.00 / Pareto analysis Regena Wilder \$82,808.26 26 \$30,008.91 7 \$5,260.00 1 \$47,539.35 18 Rhetta Parker \$55,506.10 15 \$10,280.00 2 \$15,780.00 3 \$29,446.11 10 Sanjeev Walton \$1,617,426.84 878 \$595,513.00 427 \$232,591.49 115 \$424.096.74 335 \$1,585.30 Savannah Morell \$54,824.92 17 \$33,724.48 7 \$5,260.00 1 \$13,905.44 8 \$1,935.00 Shukriyya Burrows \$78,458.70 32 \$43,204.77 10 \$1,270.00 7 \$31,813.94 14 \$2,170.00 Verda Heath \$91,111.03 34 \$12,530.88 3 \$	on Madelina Hewit	\$60,582.98	20	\$43,512.37	9			\$17,070.62	11			
Nicolle Bramble \$34,151.31 8 \$24,482.32 5 \$7,855.47 2 \$1,813.52 1 arreto analysis Opaline Webster \$39,403.45 12 \$9,120.00 2 \$5,260.00 1 \$22,853.45 8 \$2,170.00 / Pareto analysis Regena Wilder \$82,808.26 26 \$30,008.91 7 \$5,260.00 1 \$22,853.45 8 \$2,170.00 Regena Wilder \$82,808.26 26 \$30,008.91 7 \$5,260.00 1 \$47,593.35 18 Sanjeev Waltor \$51,576.00 15 \$10,280.00 2 \$15,780.00 3 \$29,446.11 10 Sanjeev Waltor \$1,617,426.84 878 \$595,951.53.30 427 \$232,591.49 115 \$424,096.74 335 \$1,585.30 Savannah Morell \$54,824.92 17 \$33,724.48 7 \$5,260.00 1 \$13,905.44 8 \$1,935.00 Shukriyya Burrows \$78,458.70 32 \$43,204.77 10 \$1,270.00 <t< td=""><td>Maggie Warren</td><td>\$152,069.66</td><td>41</td><td>\$68,792.16</td><td>15</td><td>\$38,150.00</td><td>9</td><td>\$45,127.50</td><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Maggie Warren	\$152,069.66	41	\$68,792.16	15	\$38,150.00	9	\$45,127.50	17			
Pareto analysis Regena Wilder \$82,808.26 26 \$30,008.91 7 \$5,260.00 1 \$47,593.35 18 Pareto analysis Rhetta Parker \$55,506.10 15 \$10,280.00 2 \$15,780.00 3 \$29,446.11 10 Sanjeev Walton \$1,617,426.84 878 \$959,153.30 427 \$52,291.49 115 \$424,096.74 335 \$1,985.00 Sanjeev Walton \$54,617,426.84 878 \$959,153.30 427 \$52,291.49 115 \$424,096.74 335 \$1,585.00 Savannah Moreil \$54,824.92 17 \$33,724.48 7 \$52,600.00 1 \$13,905.44 8 \$19,350.00 Shukriyya Burrows \$78,458.70 32 \$43,204.77 10 \$1,270.00 7 \$31,813.94 14 \$2,170.00 Verda Heath \$91,111.03 34 \$12,530.88 3 \$12,81.99 3 \$63,822.42 27 \$2,355.75 Vern Ferguson \$1,847,081.43 859 \$975,637.92 353		\$34,151.31	8	\$24,482.32	5	\$7,855.47	2	\$1,813.52	1			
Pareto analysis Regena Wilder \$82,808.26 26 \$30,008.91 7 \$5,260.00 1 \$47,539.35 18 Rhetta Parker \$55,566.10 15 \$10,280.00 2 \$15,780.00 3 \$29,446.11 10 Sanjeev Walton \$1,617,426.84 878 \$959,153.30 427 \$52,2591.49 115 \$424,096.74 335 \$1,935.00 Sanjeev Walton \$1,617,426.84 878 \$959,153.30 427 \$52,2591.49 115 \$424,096.74 335 \$1,585.70 Savannah Moreil \$54,849.2 17 \$37,874.48 7 \$52,600.0 1 \$13,905.44 8 \$1935.00 Shukriyya Burrows \$78,458.70 32 \$43,204.77 10 \$1,270.00 7 \$31,813.94 14 \$2,170.00 Verda Heath \$91,111.03 34 \$12,530.88 3 \$12,81.98 3 \$63,922.42 27 \$2,355.75 Vern Ferguson \$1,847,081.43 859 \$975,637.92 353 \$284,748.63	analysis Opaline Webste	\$39,403.45	12	\$9,120.00	2	\$5,260.00	1	\$22,853.45	8	\$2,170.00	1	
Sanjeev Walton \$1,617,426.84 878 \$959,153.30 427 \$232,591.49 115 \$424,096,74 335 \$1,585.30 Savannah Morell \$54,824.92 17 \$33,724.48 7 \$5,260.00 1 \$13,905.44 8 \$1,935.00 Shukriyya Burrows \$78,458.70 32 \$43,204.77 10 \$1,270.00 7 \$31,813.94 14 \$2,170.00 Verda Heath \$91,111.03 34 \$12,530.88 3 \$12,301.98 3 \$63,922.42 27 \$2,355.75 Vern Ferguson \$1,847,081.43 859 \$975,637.92 353 \$284,748.63 118 \$595,104.51 387 \$1,590.36		\$82,808.26	26	\$30,008.91	7	\$5,260.00	1	\$47,539.35	18			
Savannah Morell \$54,824.92 17 \$33,724.48 7 \$52,60.00 1 \$13,905.44 8 \$1,935.00 Shukriyya Burrows \$78,458.70 32 \$43,204.77 10 \$1,270.00 7 \$31,813.94 14 \$2,170.00 Verda Heath \$91,111.03 34 \$12,530.88 3 \$12,301.99 3 \$63,922.42 27 \$2,355.75 Vern Ferguson \$1,847,081.43 859 \$975,637.92 353 \$284,748.63 118 \$585,104.51 367 \$1,590.36	Rhetta Parker	\$55,506.10	15	\$10,280.00	2	\$15,780.00	3	\$29,446.11	10			
Shukriyya Burrows \$78,458.70 32 \$43,204.77 10 \$1,270.00 7 \$31,813.94 14 \$2,170.00 Verda Heath \$91,111.03 34 \$12,530.88 3 \$12,301.98 3 \$63,922.42 27 \$2,355.75 Verm Ferguson \$1,847,081.43 859 \$975,637.92 353 \$284,748.63 118 \$585,104.51 367 \$1,590.36	Sanjeev Walton	\$1,617,426.84	878	\$959,153.30	427	\$232,591.49	115	\$424,096.74	335	\$1,585.30	1	
Verda Heath \$91,111.03 34 \$12,530.88 3 \$12,301.98 3 \$63,922.42 27 \$2,355.75 Vern Ferguson \$1,847,081.43 859 \$975,637.92 353 \$284,748.63 118 \$585,104.51 387 \$1,590.36	Savannah More	\$54,824.92	17	\$33,724.48	7	\$5,260.00	1	\$13,905.44	8	\$1,935.00	1	
Verda Heath \$91,111.03 34 \$12,530.88 3 \$12,301.98 3 \$63,922.42 27 \$2,355.75 Vern Ferguson \$1,847,081.43 859 \$975,637.92 353 \$284,748.63 118 \$585,104.51 387 \$1,590.36	Shukrivya Burro	ws \$78,458.70	32	\$43,204.77	10	\$1,270.00	7	\$31,813.94	14	\$2,170.00	1	
	Verda Heath	\$91,111.03	34	\$12,530.88	3	\$12,301.98	3	\$63,922.42	27	\$2,355.75	1	
	Vern Ferguson	\$1,847,081.43	859	\$975,637.92	353	\$284,748.63	118	\$585,104.51	387	\$1,590.36	1	
	5											
es lions												

Add a calculation (as a new measure):

Calculations
Add New calculation
- <u>As a new measure</u> - As a sind column - As a ingre row

Costs per Sale = sum(0, 0, m1) / sum(0, 0, m2)



We have now added a new measure which can now be referred to if needed (in this case as **m3**).

Notice that when using calculation as a new measure you normally do **relative referencing** – we relate to the **current column and row**.

Now, the crosstab looks like this:

ulations	Time 2015		÷									
Calculations	Costs and No of Sale	s per Salesperson b	y Product Hierar	chy								
ted for all dimension values		Total			+ JEANS			+ SHIRTS			+ T-SHIRTS	
per Sale	Salesperson	Costs	No of Sales	Cost per Sale	Costs	No of Sales	Cost per Sale	Costs	No of Sales	Cost per Sale	Costs	No of Sal
lculation	Total	\$10,882,643.98	5,045	\$2,157.11	\$5,693,187.15	2,164	\$2,630.86	\$1,791,112.64	678	\$2,641.76	\$3,381,584.71	2,1
louiation	Alvaro Bennett	\$278,693.79	116	\$2,402.53	\$115,930.91	39	\$2,972.59	\$74,903.22	23	\$3,256.66	\$87,859.66	
ge	Annunziata Singh	\$5,249.23	5	\$1,049.85	\$5,249.23	5	\$1,049.85			Math error		
ard Deviation	Arjuna Bolton	\$67,241.67	18	\$3,735.65	\$7,112.95	2	\$3,556.48	\$28,313.56	7	\$4,044.79	\$31,815.16	
nulated Sum nulated Average	Barret Forster	\$1,492,278.85	898	\$1,661.78	\$848,199.83	370	\$2,292.43	\$239,969.98	123	\$1,950.98	\$407,243.99	
ence	Fina Tellwright	\$57,119.07	17	\$3,359.95	\$26,290.95	6	\$4,381.82	\$16,050.00	3	\$5,350.00	\$14,778.12	
th Percent	Fortunato Crawford	\$2,652,375.29	1,040	\$2,550.36	\$1,312,313.82	452	\$2,903.35	\$398,728.27	115	\$3,467.20	\$941,333.20	
th Index Percent	Jessika Thornton	\$68,975.32	27	\$2,554.64	\$15,830.00	6	\$2,638.33	\$14,510.00	3	\$4,836.67	\$38,635.32	
ntage	Juniper Peabody	\$1,675.00	1	\$1,675.00			Math error			Math error	\$1,675.00	
of Average	Justen Cartwright	\$320,350.98	196	\$1,634.44	\$103,949.44	61	\$1,704.09	\$57,708.44	28	\$2,061.02	\$152,775.09	
t Members t Values	Keren Rose	\$107,898.87	39	\$2,766.64	\$22,105.33	5	\$4,421.07	\$30,250.63	7	\$4,321.52	\$53,372.91	
nulated Member Count	 Luitpold Whyman 	\$1,717,361.23	746	\$2,302.09	\$1,025,757.59	378	\$2,713.64	\$322,200.98	109	\$2,955.97	\$369,402.66	
nulated Value Count	Madelina Hewitt	\$60,582.98	20	\$3,029.15	\$43,512.37	9	\$4,834.71			Math error	\$17,070.62	
m calculation	Maggie Warren	\$152,069.66	41	\$3,709.02	\$68,792.16	15	\$4,586.14	\$38,150.00	9	\$4,238.89	\$45,127.50	
nced calculations	Nicolle Bramble	\$34,151.31	8	\$4,268.91	\$24,482.32	5	\$4,896.46	\$7,855.47	2	\$3,927.74	\$1,813.52	
	Opaline Webster	\$39,403.45	12	\$3,283.62	\$9,120.00	2	\$4,560.00	\$5,260.00	1	\$5,260.00	\$22,853.45	
t / Pareto analysis	Regena Wilder	\$82,808.26	26	\$3,184.93	\$30,008.91	7	\$4,286.99	\$5,260.00	1	\$5,260.00	\$47,539.35	
p list / Pareto analysis	Rhetta Parker	\$55,506.10	15	\$3,700.41	\$10,280.00	2	\$5,140.00	\$15,780.00	3	\$5,260.00	\$29,446.11	
	Sanjeev Walton	\$1,617,426.84	878	\$1,842.17	\$959,153.30	427	\$2,246.26	\$232,591.49	115	\$2,022.53	\$424,096.74	
	Savannah Morell	\$54,824.92	17	\$3,225.00	\$33,724.48	7	\$4,817.78	\$5,260.00	1	\$5,260.00	\$13,905.44	
	Shukriyya Burrows	\$78,458.70	32	\$2,451.83	\$43,204.77	10	\$4,320.48	\$1,270.00	7	\$181.43	\$31,813.94	
	Verda Heath	\$91,111.03	34	\$2,679.74	\$12,530.88	3	\$4,176.96	\$12,301.98	3	\$4,100.66	\$63,922.42	
	Vern Ferguson	\$1,847,081.43	859	\$2,150.27	\$975,637.92	353	\$2,763.85	\$284,748.63	118	\$2,413.12	\$585,104.51	
		<										
irce data												
perties												
culations												
eria												

Use visibility agents to hide all salespersons who had a total No of Sales less then 100 during the period.


The condition for the visibility agent looks like this:






Use the Visibility formatting to hide the Underwear and T-shirt numbers.

• Go to **Properties** and **Visibility** and choose **hide a range of Product Hierarchy.**



Choose Position 3 from the first – and Define range end – choose
 Position 1 From the last – click Apply.




This will hide the last two columns of the cross tab and the resulting cross tab should now look something like this:

Properties International Properties	ime 2015		•								
Modify Product Hierarchy visibility Costs	and No of Sales	per Salesperson b	y Product Hiera	rchy							
The following ranges are hidden:		Total			+ JEANS			+ SHIRTS			
From 3(>>) to 1(<<) Sale	esperson	Costs	No of Sales	Cost per Sale	Costs	No of Sales	Cost per Sale	Costs	No of Sales	Cost per Sale	
Hide a range of Product Hierarchy Total	al .	\$10,882,643.98	5,045	\$2,157.11	\$5,693,187.15	2,164	\$2,630.86	\$1,791,112.64	678	\$2,641.76	
	ro Bennett	\$278,693.79	116	\$2,402.53	\$115,930.91	39	\$2,972.59	\$74,903.22	23	\$3,256.66	
© Cancel Return to main page Barre	et Forster	\$1,492,278.85	898	\$1,661.78	\$848,199.83	370	\$2,292.43	\$239,969.98	123	\$1,950.98	
Fortu	unato Crawford	\$2,652,375.29	1,040	\$2,550.36	\$1,312,313.82	452	\$2,903.35	\$398,728.27	115	\$3,467.20	
Juste	en Cartwright	\$320,350.98	196	\$1,634.44	\$103,949.44	61	\$1,704.09	\$57,708.44	28	\$2,061.02	
Luitp	pold Whyman	\$1,717,361.23	746	\$2,302.09	\$1,025,757.59	378	\$2,713.64	\$322,200.98	109	\$2,955.97	
Sanje	eev Walton	\$1,617,426.84	878	\$1,842.17	\$959,153.30	427	\$2,246.26	\$232,591.49	115	\$2,022.53	
Vern	Ferguson	\$1,847,081.43	859	\$2,150.27	\$975,637.92	353	\$2,763.85	\$284,748.63	118	\$2,413.12	



Hide No of Sales and Costs using the Visibility formatting and change object type to Column chart.

Properties
ormat visibility
Costs
Hide for a range of Product
- Hierarchy
No of Sales
^{Im})Hide for a range of Product
Hierarchy
Costs per Sale
Hide for a range of Product
Hierarchy
-



With a little further formatting, the result should look something like this:



Direct reference to dimension member value

Add another crosstab to the analysis: **Costs and No of Sales per Customer Country (Country)**.


Add a calculation as a single column per Customer Country:

Costs per Sale = sum(d1, 0, m1) / sum(d1, 0, m2)

Now we will calculate what the level of costs is in other countries compared to the American numbers – a kind of US-index.

- US index: sum(c1, 0, m1) / sum(c1, @"[North America].[United States]", m1)
- Hide Costs, No of Sales and Costs per Sale through the Visibility formatting option.
- Change the object type to horizontal bar chart.

The end result should look like this:





Exercises Lesson 1

(Screenshots and exercises are based on version 2018.3 demo data. If you working on an earlier or later version you may need to subtract or add 1 year to achieve similar results.)

Task 1


Create a new Analysis for calculation of Unit Prices. Generally Unit Price can be calculated as **Unit Price = Revenue / Units Sold**.

Start out with a Cross table **Revenue** and **Units Sold** per **Product Hierarchy** (**Product group**) by **Customer (Territory**).

• Calculate the Unit Price to get a result like this:


Revenue and l	Units	Sold per Produc	ct Hierarchy by	/ Customer Te	rritory								
		Total			Asia			Europe			No	rth America	
Product Gr	oup	Revenue	Units Sold	Unit Price	Revenue	Units Sold	Unit Price	Revenue	Units Sold	Unit Price	Revenue	Units Sold	Unit Price
Total		182,541,552	1,723,000	106	44,397,608	459,900	97	106,447,337	981,000	109	31,696,607	282,100	112
JEANS	+	95,985,049	737,500	130	20,177,380	155,800	130	59,483,070	460,300	129	16,324,598	121,400	134
SHIRTS	+	29,135,231	210,500	138	5,898,649	44,300	133	15,939,790	113,400	141	7,296,792	52,800	138
T-SHIRTS	+	56,980,759	768,600	74	18,230,101	258,300	71	30,765,884	403,400	76	7,984,774	106,900	75
UNDERWEAR	≀ +	440,513	6,400	69	91,479	1,500	61	258,592	3,900	66	90,442	1,000	90

 Add a horizontal Bar chart to display the Unit Prices for each of the territories (hint: Use the Visibility formatting option to hide the irrelevant data):





- Add a vertical Bar chart, Units sold per Time Hierarchy (Month) by Customer (Territory).
- The bar chart must include and display these two calculations:
 - **Asia market share.** For each Month, the number of units sold in Asia must be calculated as a percentage of the **total** number of units sold within that Month.
 - 12 Months trailing average. This calculation may also be referred to as a 'rolling' average. For each Month, this average is calculated as the average of the 12 Months ranging from 11 Months earlier than current Month until the current Month.
- The complete analysis should now look like this:



• Save the analysis as Lesson 1 Unit Price analysis.



Task 2

The 12 months trailing average calculation is not entirely correct when looking at the beginning of the time range – here, the calculation will be based on less than 12 months.


To correct this, at least in the beginning of the period, use **range visibility** to hide the first 12 months of the bar chart:

		Total			Asia			Europe			No	rth America						
roduct Hiera	archy	Revenue	Units Sold	Unit Price	Revenue	Units Sold	Unit Price		Units Sold	Unit Price	Revenue	Units Sold	Unit Price					
otal		182,541,552	1,723,000	106	44,397,608	459,900	97	106,447,337	981,000	109	31,696,607	282,100	112					
ANS	+	95,985,049	737,500	130	20,177,380	155,800	130	59,483,070	460,300	129	16,324,598	121,400	134					
HIRTS	+	29,135,231	210,500	138	5,898,649	44,300	133	15,939,790	113,400	141	7,296,792	52,800	138					
SHIRTS	+	56,980,759	768,600	74	18,230,101	258,300	71	30,765,884	403,400	76	7,984,774	106,900	75					
NDERWEAR	+	440,513	6,400	69	91,479	1,500	61	258,592	3,900	66	90,442	1,000	90					
enue and Uni	its Sold	d per Product H	ierarchy by Cu	stomer Territo	ŋy				Units Solo	l per Time by (Customer Terri	tory						
enue and Uni		d per Product H Asia Unit Price		stomer Territo Europe Unit P		North Ameri			Units Solo 0.6 –	l per Time by (tory Asia Market	Share -	– 12 mth trai	ling average			
enue and Uni JEANS -						North Ameri	130 129			l per Time by (Share -	= 12 mth trai	ling average	·		
JEANS -						North Ameri	130 129) 134 133	0.6	l per Time by (Share -	= 12 mth trai	ling average	ł		
						North Ameri	130 129) 134	0.6 -	l per Time by (Share -	= 12 mth trai	ing average			
JEANS - SHIRTS -					iice 1	North Ameri	130 129) 134 133 141	0.6 - 0.5 - 0.4 -	I per Time by (Share •	= 12 mth trai	ling average		ta	
JEANS - SHIRTS -				Europe Unit P	rice	North Ameri	130 129) 134 133 141	0.6 0.5 - 0.4 - 0.3 -	I per Time by 0			Share	= 12 mth trai	ling average			
JEANS - SHIRTS - T-SHIRTS -				Europe Unit P 7	1 76	North Ameri	130 129) 134 133 141	0.6 0.5 - 0.4 - 0.3 - 0.2 - 0.1 - 0			Asia Market						
JEANS - SHIRTS -				Europe Unit P	1 76		130 129) 134 133 141	0.6 0.5 - 0.4 - 0.3 - 0.2 - 0.1 - Jan	I per Time by 0			Mar May		Nov Jan	Mar M	ay Jul S 22 Q3	Sep N




Task 3

- Add a Bar chart to the Unit Price Analysis: Units Sold per Time Hierarchy (Quarter).
- Add a calculation, **2017/Q4 Index.** This calculation is an Index calculation based on 4th Quarter of 2017. The number of Units Sold in this Quarter will be equal to an Index of 100 %, and the number of Units Sold in all other Quarters must be calculated as an Index in relation to 4th Quarter 2017.
- The calculation must be generalized to work with any criteria. I.e. 4th Quarter 2017 must be basis for the Index calculation no matter if this Quarter appears as the first, third or seventh row in the underlying cross table.



• The result, with **no criteria**, should look like this:







Lesson 2: Operators, the 4th parameter and count/allcount

General purpose

This lesson will introduce the complete list of available functions. Specifically we will be looking at if-then-else statements and how to use labels to improve the readability of our formulas.

Summation / Recap

- If-then-else statements are used to check for certain conditions and to provide alternative results based on the different conditions. Specifically the if-thenelse statement is useful to prevent a division-by-zero situation. An lf-then-else can also be "nested" which means setting up more conditions (and actions when the conditions are met) inside one if-sentence.
 - if [A] then [B] else [C]
 - if [A] then [B] else if [C] then [D] else [E]
- With labels you can name specific expressions within your formula and refer to those labels from other places in the formula. This is especially useful when working with complex formulas, like the if-then-else statement, where one or more expressions may be used several times within the same formula.
 - [label:] ([expression])
- The 4th parameter can be used to address problems concerning references out of range. References to cells not available in the current dataset (e.g. a reference to previous month in the first month of a dataset). Such a reference would normally result in the error message "not defined", but with the 4th parameter you have the option to insert a value instead of the not-reachable value.

The syntax could be: **sum(d1, -1, m1, 0)**. This reference to the previous row will (in case previous row is not-reachable) return a zero instead.

 Count counts all the values in a set of cells, while allcount counts all the cells regardless of content (null-values as well). This can be used to validate if all the wanted/required registrations are present in a dataset.

The formula **count(d1, all, m1) % allcount(d1, all, m1)** will calculate the percentage of cells in the first column from the left containing numeric values.



Demo

The demo will demonstrate a very common situation: A cross table that includes a custom calculation where a division-by-zero situation can occur.

Labels will added to the formula to enhance the readability.

- Create a new Analysis with a Cross table showing Revenue and Costs per Customer
- Add a new calculation Contribution Margin = (Revenue Costs) / Revenue * 100.
- Apply the global criterion **Customer Country(Territory) = Asia.**

Calculations	Revenue and Costs per Customer				
Select Contribution Margin	Customer	Revenue	Costs	Contribution Margin	
Calculation title:	Smart Attitude KK	\$11,196.00	\$3,742.50	66.57	^
Contribution Margin	Smart Dress Sdn Bhd	\$7,692.00	\$1,630.68	78.80	
Enter calculation	Smart Men Sdn Bhd		\$21,070.00	Math error	
(sum(d1, 0, m1) - sum(d1, 0, m2)) / sum(d1, 0, m1) * 100	Smart Vanity KK	(\$7,924.52)	\$1,897.50	123.94	
	Southern Frontier Sdn Bhd		\$7,540.95	Math error	
	Southern Hip Hop KK	\$7,404.00	\$8,970.52	-21.16	
	Special Brands Sdn Bhd	\$10,046.40	\$2,596.75	74.15	
and many and	Special Clothesline KK	\$15,331.68	\$3,930.00	Jan Jan	1

Notice the "Math errors" – which are caused by division by zero.

To fix this, we will implement an "if-then-else" statement in our calculations syntax.

lf-then-else

In the formula, include an **if-then-else** statement to handle the division-byzero issue. Insert "0" when a division by zero is attempted:

Start File Design Tools View				
Calculations	Revenue and Costs per Customer			
Select Contribution Margin	Customer	Revenue	Costs	Contribution Margin
Calculation title:	Smart Attitude KK	\$11,196.00	\$3,742.50	66.57
Contribution Margin	Smart Dress Sdn Bhd	\$7,692.00	\$1,630.68	78.80
Enter calculation	Smart Men Sdn Bhd		\$21,070.00	0.00
if sum(d1, 0, m1) = 0 then 0 else	Smart Vanity KK	(\$7,924.52)	\$1,897.50	123.94
(sum(d1, 0, m1) - sum(d1, 0, m2)) / sum(d1, 0, m1) * 100	Southern Frontier Sdn Bhd		\$7,540.95	0.00
	Southern Hip Hop KK	\$7,404.00	\$8,970.52	-21.16
	Special Brands Sdn Bhd	\$10,046.40	\$2,596.75	74,15
and many more and	a service and the service of the ser	\$15.331.68		Survey of the



A "nested" If-then-else

Maybe more calculations need to be done depending on different conditions.

This can be solved using a "nested" if-sentence.

- Create a new **Bonus analysis** with a crosstab showing **Revenue per Salesperson**.
- Add a dynamic criteria = Previous month

Now we've got a basis for calculating the monthly bonus for the Salespersons. The bonus is given according to these rules:

- Revenue 0-50.000 = 0 in bonus
- Revenue > 50.000 = 5% of Revenue in bonus
- Revenue >= 100.000 and < 500.000 = 10% of Revenue in bonus
- Revenue >= 500.000 = 15% of Revenue in bonus

This can be translated to Targit calculation syntax using one long **nested if sentence**:

```
if sum(d1, 0, m1) >= 500000 then sum(d1, 0, m1) * 0,15 else
if sum(d1, 0, m1) >= 100000 then sum(d1, 0, m1) * 0,1 else
if sum(d1, 0, m1) >= 50000 then sum(d1, 0, m1) * 0,05 else 0
```

Notice: The last else takes care of those who do not meet any of the conditions and as a result has no bonus coming.

Adding Labels could make this sentence a lot more readable:

```
if SALES:(sum(d1, 0, m1)) >= 500000 then SALES * 0,15 else
if SALES >= 100000 then SALES * 0,1 else
if SALES >= 50000 then SALES * 0,05 else 0
```



Make sure that an **icon agent** highlights the salesperson who recieves the highest bonus.

With June 2017 set as the dynamic date origin the crosstab should look like this:

Properties		Revenue per Salesp	person		
< Return to main page		Salesperson	Revenue		Bonus
		Alvaro Bennett	\$85,534.80		\$4,276.74
Color and Gauge Agents		Arjuna Bolton	\$57,671.98		\$2,883.60
III Bonus	🙂 sum(c1, 0, m1) = max(c1, all, m1)	Barret Forster	\$510,872.70		\$76,630.91
		Fortunato Crawfo	rd \$117,295.63		\$11,729.56
		Jessika Thornton	\$83,553.60		\$4,177.68
		Juniper Peabody	\$7,784.40		\$0.00
		Justen Cartwright	\$192,577.38		\$19,257.74
		Keren Rose	\$17,550.00		\$0.00
		Luitpold Whyman	\$562,811.60		\$84,421.74
		Madelina Hewitt	\$30,388.80		\$0.00
		Maggie Warren	\$30,108.00		\$0.00
	★ ↓	Opaline Webster	\$53,362.40		\$2,668.12
Count America		Regena Wilder	\$54,568.80		\$2,728.44
Smart Agents		Rhetta Parker	\$49,498.80		\$0.00
Default Color element		Sanjeev Walton	\$371,940.40		\$37,194.04
lcon		Shukriyya Burrow	s \$58,188.00		\$2,909.40
Gauge		Verda Heath	\$51,838.80		\$2,591.94
Background Text		Vern Ferguson	\$799,886.36	<u>.</u>	\$119,982.95

The 4th parameter

The 4th parameter is useful when **referencing out of range** in relation to the dataset that has been defined for the crosstab at hand.

This will normally result in the error message "*not defined*". By using the 4th parameter you can insert a numeric value which will replace the error message.

An example:

- Create a new analysis **Monthdifference** with a crosstab showing **Revenue per Time Hierarchy (Month)**.
- Add a calculation showing the **difference between current month and the previous month**.

The formula could be: sum(d1, 0, m1) – sum(d1, -1, m1)



In this particular case this formular will return "*Undefined*" in the first row – because there is no previous month in the dataset – and the reference is out of range.

Start	File	Design	Tools	View							
Calculations		Revenue p	er Time	2							
Select Difference from previous			Year Quarter		Month		Revenue	Difference from previous month			
	Interence	from previ	ous	<u>2014</u> –	<u>Total</u>				<u>42,522,381</u>	Undefined	^
month					Q1	-	Total		11,333,186	Undefined	
Calculatio	from previo	us month					Jan	+	3,388,249	Undefined	
Enter calc							Feb	+	3,744,350	356,102	
		14 4 - 4					Mar	+	4,200,587	456,236	
sum(a1, 0,	sum(d1, 0, m1) - sum(d1, -1, m1)				Q2	-	Total		10,019,931	-1,313,255	
							Apr	+	3,817,679	-382,907	
						May	+	2,839,052	-978,627		
							Jun	+	3,363,200	524,148	
V Apply	<u>calculation</u>			m	Q?~~	_	Total	-		-176-995	

In this case the 4th parameter can be a solution.

New formula: sum(d1, 0, m1) – sum(d1, -1, m1, 0)

The last 0 indicates that the value 0 should be inserted in case of reference out of range.

Now the first row (January) is calculated as if the previous month was 0.

Start	File	Design	Tools	View							
Calcula	ations			Revenue p	er Time						
Coloct D	:#	fram avail		Year	Quarte	er	Mon	th	Revenue	Difference from previous month	
month	merence	from previ	ous	<u>2014</u> –	<u>Total</u>				42,522,381	<u>42,522,381</u>	^
					Q1 -	-	Total		11,333,186	11,333,186	
Calculatio	n title: from previo	us month					Jan	+	3,388,249	3,388,249	
Enter calc		usmonur					Feb	+	3,744,350	356,102	
		1 1					Mar	+	4,200,587	456,236	
sum(a1, 0,	(m1) - sum(d1, -1, m1, 0)			Q2 -	-	Total		10,019,931	-1,313,255	
							Apr	+	3,817,679	-382,907	
							May	+	2,839,052	-978,627	
	~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	-	and	~	~	ትወጣ	-		man son	~



#### **Count and Allcount**

We create another analysis **Customer Activity** with a **crosstab** showing **Revenue per Salesperson and by Customer**.

As this part of the crosstab shows, it is just a fraction of the Customers that each Salesperson gets his or hers Revenue from.

S16,440.00	57,440.00 \$7,440.00	S10,740.00	Accessories & T-shirts SGPS \$28,899.00 \$28,899.00	\$16,676.40	Accessories + Children KK \$10,686.00	\$2,647,815 \$2,647,815
	\$7,440.00		\$28,899.00			\$2,647,81
	\$7,440.00		\$28,899.00			\$2,647,81
	\$7,440.00		\$28,899.00			\$2,647,81
	\$7,440.00		\$28,899.00			
	\$7,440.00		\$28,899.00			
	\$7,440.00		\$28,899.00			
	\$7,440.00		\$28,899.00			
	\$7,440.00					
	\$7,440.00					
	\$7,440.00					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
\$16,440.00						
					\$10,686.00	
				\$16.676.40		
		\$10,740.00				
						>
			\$10,740.00	\$10,740.00	\$16,676.40 \$10,740.00	

First of all we want a count of how many Customers each Salesperson has covered in terms of Revenue.

Active customers = count(all, 0, m1)



Start	File	Design	Tools	View	
Prope	rties			Revenue per Salespers	on by Customer
Format	vicibility				Active Customers
Format				Total	1,307
Reven		of Customer		Alvaro Bennett	13
That	or a range	or customer		Annunziata Singh	66
Dimonsi	on value	-		Arjuna Bolton	11
		5		Barret Forster	47
Custo 🖌	mer a range of (	Customer		Charity Carmichael	26
Salesp	-	customer		Fina Tellwright	38
Hide a	a range of S	Salesperson		Fortunato Crawford	53
				Jessika Thornton	109
Dimensi	on levels	;		Juniper Peabody	36
Custome	r			Justen Cartwright	5
🛃 Total				Keren Rose	128
	mer labels			Luitpold Whyman	22
Custo	mer values	;	,	Madelina Hewitt	76
Salespers	00			Maggie Warren	174
✓ Total	on			Mechtilde Watts	14
	erson labe	ls		Nicolle Bramble	22
Salesp	erson valu	es		Opaline Webster	62
				Regena Wilder	74
Calculat	ed for ea	ach Salesper	son	Rhetta Parker	70
Active	Customer	rs		Sanjeev Walton	34
				Savannah Morell	79
ヘ Returi	n to main p	bage		Shukriyya Burrows	104
				Verda Heath	119
				Vern Ferguson	30

Hide, through Visibility formatting, everything but the calculation – this should make the crosstab look like this:



A refined variation of this counting could be to relate the count to an allcount (all possible customers).

#### Active Customer % = count(all, 0, m1) / allcount(all, 0, m1)

With a bit of formatting the final crosstab should look like this:

Calculations	Revenue per Salespers	-	
Select Active Customers %			Active Customers %
Calculation title:	Total	1,307	100.00%
Active Customers %	Alvaro Bennett	13	0.99%
Enter calculation	Annunziata Singh	66	5.05%
	Arjuna Bolton	11	0.84%
count(all, 0, m1) / allcount(all, 0, m1)	Barret Forster	47	3.60%
	Charity Carmichael	26	1.99%
	Fina Tellwright	38	2.91%
	Fortunato Crawford	53	4.06%
<ul> <li>Apply calculation</li> </ul>	Jessika Thornton	109	8.34%
Cancel	Juniper Peabody	36	2.75%
Remove Active Customers % Move Active Customers % to the	Justen Cartwright	5	0.38%
other axis	Keren Rose	128	9.79%
Swap X and Y references	Luitpold Whyman	22	1.68%
	Madelina Hewitt	76	5.81%
ormat Active Customers %	Maggie Warren	174	13.31%
# Format numbers	Mechtilde Watts	14	1.07%
	Nicolle Bramble	22	1.68%
ntelligent Agents	Opaline Webster	62	4.74%
Lolor and Gauge Agents	Regena Wilder	74	5.66%
Visibility Agents	Rhetta Parker	70	5.36%
Add object Notification Agent on	Sanjeev Walton	34	2.60%
ctive Customers %	Savannah Morell	79	6.04%
	Shukriyya Burrows	104	7.96%
	Verda Heath	119	9.10%
	Vern Ferguson	30	2.30%



# From the Calculations Smartpad you can add pre-defined Rank and Median calculations.


Like the Average calculation, the Rank and Median calculations makes most sense when they refer to ranges, e.g. an all range.

The default pre-defined calculations are:

median(d-1, all, m1) •

**Rank and Median** 

- rankdesc(d-1, all, m1) •
- rankasc(d-1, all, m1) •



Calculations

For a standard crosstab with No of Sales per Customer Country, this would give a result like this:

Customer Country	No of Sales	Rank (Ascending)	Rank (Descending)	Median
Canada	806	3	12	2,009
Denmark	1,830	7	8	2,009
France	2,188	8	7	2,009
Germany	2,298	9	6	2,009
Italy	3,170	12	3	2,009
Japan	3,374	13	2	2,009
Malaysia	4,743	14	1	2,009
Mexico	1,064	6	9	2,009
Norway	602	1	14	2,009
Portugal	831	5	10	2,009
Spain	2,747	10	5	2,009
Sweden	819	4	11	2,009
United Kingdom	632	2	13	2,009
United States	3,011	11	4	2,009



#### Exercises lesson 2

(Screenshots and exercises are based on version 2018.3 demo data. If you working on an earlier or later version you may need to subtract or add 1 year to achieve similar results.)

#### Task 1

- Open the **Unit Price** analysis that was created and saved during Lesson 1.
- Apply the global criteria Salesperson = Nicolle Bramble. This will produce Math Errors in the crosstab.

		Total			Asia			Europe			Ne	rth America	
		TOLAT			ASId			Europe			NO	rtn America	
Product Gr	oup	Revenue	<b>Units Sold</b>	Unit Price	Revenue	Units Sold	Unit Price	Revenue	<b>Units Sold</b>	Unit Price	Revenue	Units Sold	Unit Price
Total		355,529	3,100	115	15,732	100	157	314,471	2,600	121	25,326	400	63
JEANS	+	181,228	1,300	139			Math error	163,228	1,200	136	18,000	100	180
SHIRTS	+	53,377	300	178			Math error	53,377	300	178			Math error
T-SHIRTS	+	120,924	1,500	81	15,732	100	157	97,866	1,100	89	7,326	300	24

- Include if-then-else statements in the calculation syntax to avoid the Math Errors you would otherwise see.
- Save the Unit Price analysis with these changes.

#### Task 2


- Create a new Analysis, Product Suite Exploitation (PSE), from which we will be able to tell how many products, out of the total number of products, that have been utilized in a given period or for a given country.
- A product is defined as being "exploited" whenever any revenue has been recorded for the product in a given period or for a given country. Positive, negative as well as 0 revenue counts as a recorded revenue.
- PSE may be expressed in percentage:
  - **PSE** = [number of products with a *non-NULL* revenue] / [*total* number of products].



- The Analysis must be based on three objects:
  - A Pie chart showing Revenue per Product Hierarchy (Product Group).
  - A Bar chart showing PSE per Time Hierarchy (Quarter).

Tip: The basis for this object will be a cross table showing **Revenue** per **Time Hierarchy (Quarter)** by **Product (Product)**.

- A Map (Map chart) showing PSE per Country.
  - Color Country **red** if **PSE < average PSE**.
  - Color Country green if PSE >= average PSE.
- The analysis should now look like this:



Save the analysis as Lesson 2 Product Suite Exploitation.



#### Task 3

- Create a new Analysis, Rank Improvement and Median Analysis, that will rank Salespersons etc. according to their Revenue. Furthermore, the analysis will compare rankings of the current year with rankings of previous year and clearly show who has improved the most or the least.
- Tip: If you do not know the syntax for Rank and Median calculations, try adding them to a crosstab from the list of pre-defined calculations.
- Tip: The bar chart highlights the Salespersons that are closest to the Median Revenue. You may need a (hidden) column with:
  - o abs((sum(d1, 0, m1) median(d1, all, m1)))

And a color agent where you look for the minimum value(s) of the hidden column.

#### Calculations

#### Smart Calculations

#### No calculations present.

#### Add calculation

- Total
- Average
   Standard Deviation
- Accumulated Sum
- Accumulated Sum
- Difference
- Growth Percent
- Growth Index
- Index Percent
- Percentage
- Index of Average
   Count Members
- Count Wembe
- Accumulated Member Count
- Accumulated Value Count
- Median
   Rank (Descending)
- Rank (Ascending) - Custom calculation

The analysis should look like this:



Save the analysis as Lesson 2 Rank Improvement and Median.



# Lesson 3: Reference Modifiers – Visibility and Order

# General purpose

This lesson will teach the use and relevance of **Reference Modifiers**.

All aggregation functions are by default based on all the elements within their reference range.

For example **avg(d-1, all, m1)** will calculate the average of the measure m1 for **all** rows in the last column.

Now, if for example some of the rows had been hidden by a Visibility Agent, then a visibility modifier must be used to calculate the average of the **visible** rows only: **avg(d-1, all(visible), m1)**.

## **Summation / Recap**

- A Reference Modifier is used to filter the elements within the reference range upon which the aggregation function must be based.
- There are three types of Reference Modifiers:
  - Visibility modifiers includes/excludes visible/hidden elements.
  - **Order modifiers** determines the order of elements when they are referenced the current sorting order, as seen in the cross table, or the inherited sorting order, as sorted in the cube.
  - **Hierarchy modifiers** to be focused on in the next lesson.



# Demo

This demo will demonstrate examples of how to utilize the Visibility and Order Modifiers.

#### **Visibility Modifiers**

- Create a new Analysis with a Cross table showing Revenue per Product Hierarchy(Product).
- Use a Visibility Agent to hide products with a Revenue < 5.000.000.</p>



• Add a calculation: Total of visible products.


Start	File	Design	Tools	View			
Calcula	tions			Revenue per Pro	duct Hiera	archy	
Calact Ta	شيهم امه	ile le ve de de	-	Product Grou	p	Product	Revenue
		sible produ	cts	Total			<u>\$182,541,552.13</u>
Calculation Total of visi		ta		JEANS	_	Total	\$95,985,048.79
		1.5				Levis 501, Blue	\$12,214,903.93
	er calculation n(0, all(v), m1)					Levis, Lime XL	\$9,373,194.96
sum(0, all(v	ım(0, all(v), m1)					Lewis 502, White	\$18,103,328.99
						Marlboro Classic, Brown	\$27,409,546.27
						Marlboro Classic, Sand	\$23,578,467.65
				SHIRTS	_	Total	\$29,135,231.01
	alculation	ı				Boss Casual, Blue XL	\$11,080,441.85
Cancel						Boss Casual, White M	\$8,464,644.34
		visible products				Boss Casual, White XL	\$5,504,098.19
other axis	Move Total of visible products to the other axis Swap X and Y references		to the	T-SHIRTS	_	Total	\$56,980,758.96
Swap X					Bosswell, Sand M	\$5,607,665.12	
						Levis, White	\$5,046,918.36
Format T	otal of \	isible prod	ucts 🔹	Total of visible	products		\$126,383,209.66
# Format	numbers						

**Note:** The standard Grand total at the top of the table is the sum of visible *and* hidden rows, while the calculated total at the bottom of the table is the sum of the visible rows only.



#### **Order Modifiers**

- Create a new Analysis Monthly Growth with a Cross table showing Revenue per Time Hierarchy (Month).
- Apply criteria **Time = Last 13 months**.



- Make an **ascending sort order** by right-clicking the Revenue column's header.
- From the Properties tab, Formatting, disable **Hierarchical collation**.
- Add two calculations:
  - Accumulated sum, sorted
    - sum(d-1, d1:0, m1)
  - Month-to-month growth, unsorted
    - (sum(d-1, 0(u), m1) sum(d-1, -1(u), m1)) % sum(d-1, -1(u), m1)


Start	File	Design	Tools	View							
Calcula	ations			(i) Reven	nue pe	Tim	e				
Colort M		manth C.		Year	Quar	ter	Mon	th	* Revenue	Accumulated sum	Month-to-month Growth
		-month Gi	rowth	Total					43,459,300	43,459,300	Undefined
Calculatio	n title: month Grow	th		2015 —	Q3	-	Jul	+	1,510,860	1,510,860	-48
Enter calc				2016 -	Q1	-	Jan	+	2,187,811	3,698,670	-45
				2015 —	Q2	-	May	+	2,310,850	6,009,521	Undefined
(sum(d-1, 0(u), m1) - sum(d-1, -1(u), m1)) % sum(d-1, -1(u), m1)					Jun	+	2,899,962	8,909,483	25		
				Q3	_	Aug	+	2,993,152	11,902,635	98	
				2016 —	Q2	-	May	+	3,135,432	15,038,067	-33
					Q1	_	Feb	+	3,350,159	18,388,227	53
Apply	calculation	n		2015 —	Q4	-	Nov	+	3,401,483	21,789,710	-19
X Cance							Dec	+	3,961,120	25,750,829	16
		to-month Grov			Q3	_	Sep	+	4,156,822	29,907,651	39
the other a		nional orov			Q4	_	Oct	+	4,207,609	34,115,261	1
				2016 —	Q2	-	Apr	+	4,657,380	38,772,641	-1
Format	Month-te	o-month (	Growth a		Q1	_	Mar	+	4,686,660	43,459,300	40
	man and a second		~~~	- when	~	5	$\sim$	-	-	manny	

**Notice**: The monthly growth calculation should work **according to the original sorting order** to make sence – that's why the **u-parameter** is necessary.



The **earliest month** (which is only included in order to calculate growth on the second earliest month) is still visible in the analysis.

Add a **visibility agent** to hide the earliest month (again, according to the original sorting order).



The visibility agent counts the rows (unsorted) and counting the first row the condition is met and the row is hidden. After the first row the count will be > 1 and the condition is not met.

The final analysis should look like this:

Properties		<li>Reve</li>	nue per	Time					
<ul> <li>Return to main page</li> </ul>		Year	Quar	ter	Mon	th	* Revenue	Accumulated sum	Month-to-month Growth
		2015 —	Q3	-	Jul	+	1,510,860	1,510,860	-48
Visibility Agents		2016 -	Q1	-	Jan	+	2,187,811	3,698,670	-45
∑ Revenue	count(d1, d1:0(u), m1) = 1	2015 —	Q2		Jun	+	2,899,962	8,909,483	25
			Q3	-	Aug	+	2,993,152	11,902,635	98
		2016 -	Q2	-	May	+	3,135,432	15,038,067	-33
			Q1	-	Feb	+	3,350,159	18,388,227	53
		2015 —	Q4	-	Nov	+	3,401,483	21,789,710	-19
					Dec	+	3,961,120	25,750,829	16
			Q3	_	Sep	+	4,156,822	29,907,651	39
		-	<b>Q</b> 4	_	Oct	+	4,207,609	34,115,261	1
		2016 -	Q2	-	Apr	+	4,657,380	38,772,641	-1
			Q1	- 1	Mar	+	4,686,660	43,459,300	40

Notice that it is possible to sort the last calculation, while the accumulated sum cannot be sorted – and the reason for this is the use of the u-parameter on the last calculation.



# Exercises lesson 3

(Screenshots and exercises are based on version 2018.3 demo data. If you working on an earlier or later version you may need to subtract or add 1 year to achieve similar results.)

#### Task 1

In this exercise you are challenged with creating a new Analysis, **Advanced Profit**, to analyze 12 months data for the **Profit** measure.

 Add a global criterion (using Dynamic Time) that will limit our data to the previous 12 months (latest 12 concluded months):



- Add a Horizontal Bar Chart **Profit, 12 months Index**:
  - Calculate a **12 months index**, that calculates each month as a percentage of the **first** month in the selected period.

Tip: Include the Order Modifier in the calculation, otherwise it will not be possible to sort it.

• Make a descending sort order based on the calculation 12 mth index.

Tip: Right-click the calculation's column header in order to sort it.


- o Apply labels.
- Add a Vertical Bar Chart **Profit, Top Customers of Total**:
  - Top Customers (TC) are defined as customers having a total profit greater than or equal to 50.000 for the selected 12 months period.

Tip: The basic cross table should be defined with the Time Hierarchy



(Month) dimension on the vertical axis, and the Customer dimension on the horizontal axis.

- Calculate a Top Customer percentage, TC%, that is the profit for Top Customers expressed as a percentage of the total profit for all customers.
- Tip: Customers contributing with *less* than 50.000 must be hidden.
   TC% is in other words the profit for *visible* customers expressed as a percentage of the *total* profit (profit for visible *and* hidden customers).
- Display TC% in the bar chart with **labels** applied.
- Let us simulate that we are currently in 20 October 2017. This is done via the Specify dynamic date origin option in the Criteria Smartpad.




The result should now look like this:

#### Save the analysis as Lesson 3 Advanced Profit analysis.

How do you apply a different color to the first month, the 100% bar, in the horizontal bar chart?





## Change the dynamic date origin to 20 June 2018 to get this result:

**TARGIT** Calculations Expert



# Lesson 4: Reference Modifiers – Hierarchy

#### General purpose

This lesson will teach the use and relevance of Hierarchy Reference Modifiers.

Hierarchy Modifiers will enable the user to refer to certain levels of data in a hierarchical dimension.

By default any calculation will be applied to its current level, which in most cases also makes sense: E.g. monthly averages are calculated on the Month level of the Time dimensions, quarterly averages are calculated on the Quarter level etc.

But in some instances it may be necessary to refer to a different level, e.g. to calculate the monthly revenue as a percentage of the yearly revenue.

## **Summation / Recap**

- A Reference Modifier is used to filter the elements within the reference range upon which the aggregation function must be based.
- **Hierarchy modifiers** are used to force references to specific levels in a hierarchical dimension.



# Demo

This demo will demonstrate different examples of how to utilize the Hierarchy Modifiers.

#### Child Modifier

- Create a new Analysis with a Cross table showing Profit per Product Hierarchy(Product).
- Use a Visibility Agent to hide all products where Profit < 3.000.000. So now we are only seeing the Significant Products (SP).

Properties		Profit per Product	Hierarchy	
< Return to main page		Product Group	Product	Profit
		Total		\$109,682,794.89
Visibility Agents		JEANS _	Total	\$57,774,877.23
∑ Profit	value < 3000000; Hide member of	Product Hierarchy	Levis 501, Blue	\$7,446,579.25
	4		Levis, Lime XL	\$5,455,401.55
			Lewis 502, White	\$10,917,321.16
			Marlboro Classic, Brown	\$16,915,395.58
			Marlboro Classic, Sand	\$13,849,331.04
		SHIRTS –	Total	\$17,808,203.14
			Boss Casual, Blue XL	\$6,214,522.03
			Boss Casual, White M	\$5,649,515.91
			Boss Casual, White XL	\$3,489,071.14
		T-SHIRTS –	Total	\$33,784,343.05
		1	Levis, White M	\$3,094,612.02
			Levis, white M	\$5,094,012.0

**Note:** The subtotals are still based on the sums for **all** products (visible **and** hidden) for each product group.

Add a new calculation for the Significant Products, SP Profit = sum(d1, all(v), m1), as a calculation for each product.

Calculations		Profit per Proc	duct l	Hierarchy		
Select SP Profit	^	Product Gro	oup	Product	Profit	SP Profit
Calculation title:		Total			<u>\$109,682,794.89</u>	<u>\$109,682,794.89</u>
SP Profit		JEANS	_	Total	\$57,774,877.23	\$109,367,423.42
Enter calculation				Levis 501, Blue	\$7,446,579.25	\$73,031,749.67
	Levis, Lime XL         \$5,455,401.55         \$73,031,749           Lewis 502, White         \$10,917,321.16         \$73,031,749           Marlboro Classic, Brown         \$16,915,395.58         \$73,031,749           Marlboro Classic, Sand         \$13,849,331.04         \$73,031,749	\$73,031,749.67				
sum(d1, all(v), m1)			Lewis 502, White	\$10,917,321.16	\$73,031,749.67	
				Marlboro Classic, Brown	\$16,915,395.58	\$73,031,749.67
				Marlboro Classic, Sand	\$13,849,331.04	\$73,031,749.67
		SHIRTS	-	Total	\$17,808,203.14	\$109,367,423.42
<ul> <li>Apply calculation</li> </ul>				Boss Casual, Blue XL	\$6,214,522.03	\$73,031,749.67
Cancel				Boss Casual, White M	\$5,649,515.91	\$73,031,749.67
Remove SP Profit Move SP Profit to the other axis		1		Boss Casual, White XL	\$3,489,071.14	\$73,031,749.67
Swap X and Y references	1	T-SHIRTS	_	Total	\$33,784,343.05	\$109,367,423.42
				Levis, White M	\$3,094,612.02	\$73,031,749.67



**Note:** The result for each product is the total Profit for all visible products (in the first column). The subtotal result for each Product group is the total Profit for all visible Product groups (in the first column).

Add a Children modifier to the formula, SP Profit = sum(d1, all(v,c), m1).

Calculations	🕕 Profit per l	Prod	uct Hierarchy		
Select SP Profit	Product Gro	oup	Product	Profit	SP Profit
	Total			<u>\$109,682,794.89</u>	\$109,367,423.42
Calculation title:	JEANS	-	Total	\$57,774,877.23	\$54,584,028.58
Enter calculation			Levis 501, Blue	\$7,446,579.25	\$0.00
			Levis, Lime XL	\$5,455,401.55	\$0.00
sum(d1, all(v,c), m1)			Lewis 502, White	\$10,917,321.16	\$0.0
			Marlboro Classic, Brown	\$16,915,395.58	\$0.0
			Marlboro Classic, Sand	\$13,849,331.04	\$0.0
	SHIRTS	_	Total	\$17,808,203.14	\$15,353,109.07
<ul> <li>Apply calculation</li> </ul>			Boss Casual, Blue XL	\$6,214,522.03	\$0.00
Cancel			Boss Casual, White M	\$5,649,515.91	\$0.0
K Remove SP Profit Move SP Profit to the other axis			Boss Casual, White XL	\$3,489,071.14	\$0.0
Swap X and Y references	T-SHIRTS	-	Total	\$33,784,343.05	\$3,094,612.02
			Levis, White M	\$3,094,612.02	\$0.0

**Note:** The result for each product is now zero because the products have no children. The subtotal result for each group is now the total Profit for all visible Product group children, i.e. all visible products.



• Finally use an if-then-else statement to produce a satisfying result:

# if count(d1, all(c), m1) = 0 then sum(d1, 0, m1) else sum(d1, all(v,c), m1).

Or, in plain text: *If the current element has no children then just copy the element's value, otherwise calculate the sum of all its visible children.* 

Calculations		🕕 Profit per	Prod	uct Hierarchy		
Select SP Profit	^	Product Gr	oup	Product	Profit	SP Profit
		<u>Total</u>			<u>\$109,682,794.89</u>	<u>\$109,367,423.42</u>
Calculation title: SP Profit		JEANS	-	Total	\$57,774,877.23	\$54,584,028.58
Enter calculation				Levis 501, Blue	\$7,446,579.25	\$7,446,579.25
				Levis, Lime XL	\$5,455,401.55	\$5,455,401.55
if count(d1, all(c), m1) = 0 then sum(d1, 0, m1) else sum(d1, all(v,c), m1)				Lewis 502, White	\$10,917,321.16	\$10,917,321.16
,,,				Marlboro Classic, Brown	\$16,915,395.58	\$16,915,395.58
				Marlboro Classic, Sand	\$13,849,331.04	\$13,849,331.04
		SHIRTS	_	Total	\$17,808,203.14	\$15,353,109.07
<ul> <li>Apply calculation</li> </ul>				Boss Casual, Blue XL	\$6,214,522.03	\$6,214,522.03
Cancel				Boss Casual, White M	\$5,649,515.91	\$5,649,515.91
Remove SP Profit Move SP Profit to the other axis				Boss Casual, White XL	\$3,489,071.14	\$3,489,071.14
Swap X and Y references	( T C		-	Total	\$33,784,343.05	\$3,094,612.02
				Levis, White M	\$3,094,612.02	\$3,094,612.02



#### Ragged Hierarchy Modifier

A 'ragged' hierarchy is a hierarchy where different branches of the hierarchy have different numbers of levels.

- Create another Cross table, Revenue per Customer Country(Country)
- Add a calculation, **Accumulated sum = sum(d-1, d1:0, m1)**.
- Expand Canada, to simulate a ragged hierarchy.

Calculations	<ol> <li>Revenue per Cu</li> </ol>	stomer Country					
Select Accumulated Revenue	Territory	Country		Region		Revenue	Accumulated Revenue
	Total					\$182,541,552.13	\$182,541,552.13
Calculation title: Accumulated Revenue	<u>Asia</u> —	Total				<u>\$44,397,608.43</u>	\$44,397,608.43
Enter calculation		Japan	+			\$18,435,672.47	\$18,435,672.47
		Malaysia	+			\$25,961,935.96	\$44,397,608.43
sum(d-1, d1:0, m1)	Europe –	Total				\$106,447,336.78	<u>\$150,844,945.21</u>
		Denmark	+			\$13,235,609.57	\$57,633,217.99
		France	+			\$14,881,382.91	\$72,514,600.90
		Germany	+			\$14,270,870.29	\$86,785,471.18
<ul> <li>Apply calculation</li> </ul>		Italy	+			\$19,969,281.21	\$106,754,752.3
R Cancel		Norway	+			\$4,482,165.59	\$111,236,917.9
Remove Accumulated Revenue Move Accumulated Revenue to the		Portugal	+			\$7,754,917.22	\$118,991,835.2
other axis		Spain	+			\$18,856,018.69	\$137,847,853.9
		Sweden	+			\$6,094,000.76	\$143,941,854.6
Format Accumulated Revenue		United Kingdom	+			\$6,903,090.56	\$150,844,945.2
# Format numbers	North America —	Total				<u>\$31,696,606.91</u>	<u>\$182,541,552.13</u>
- Tornac Hambers		Canada	_ `	Total		\$5,999,422.39	\$156,844,367.60
ntelligent Agents				Alberta	+	\$357,270.40	\$357,270.40
Lenigent Agents				British Columbia	+	\$85,980.00	\$443,250.40
K Visibility Agents				Manitoba	+	\$086,949.33	\$1,130,199.73
Add object Notification Agent on				New Brunswick	+	\$79,998.00	\$1,210,197.73
Accumulated Revenue				Newfoundland	+	\$189,639.60	\$1,399,837.33
				Northwest Territories	+	\$51,776.94	\$1,451,614.23

**Note:** The accumulation "starts over" in the expanded part of the hierarchy. This is because the default Hierarchy Modifier (= no Hierarchy Modifier) is set to include elements on the same level as the **current** element.



• Add the 'ragged' modifier **r0** to the calculation:

# sum(d-1, d1:0(r0), m1).

• This will force the calculation to include elements at the lowest level in the hierarchy according to the current expansion of the table.

Calculations	<ol> <li>Revenue per Cu</li> </ol>	stomer Country				
	Territory	Country	Region		Revenue	Accumulated Revenue
Select Accumulated Revenue	Total			\$	182,541,552.13	\$18,435,672.47
Calculation title: Accumulated Revenue	Asia —	Total		5	\$44, <u>397,608.43</u>	\$18,435,672.47
Enter calculation		Japan	+		\$18,435,672.47	\$18,435,672.47
		Malaysia	+		\$25,961,935.96	\$44,397,608.43
sum(d-1, d1:0(r0), m1)	Europe –	Total		<u>\$</u> *	106,447,336.78	<u>\$57,633,217.99</u>
		Denmark	+		\$13,235,609.57	\$57,633,217.99
		France	+		\$14,881,382.91	\$72,514,600.90
		Germany	+		\$14,270,870.29	\$86,785,471.18
<ul> <li>Apply calculation</li> </ul>		Italy	+		\$19,969,281.21	\$106,754,752.39
Cancel		Norway	+		\$4,482,165.59	\$111,236,917.98
Remove Accumulated Revenue Move Accumulated Revenue to the		Portugal	+		\$7,754,917.22	\$118,991,835.21
other axis		Spain	+		\$18,856,018.69	\$137,847,853.90
		Sweden	+		\$6,094,000.76	\$143,941,854.65
Format Accumulated Revenue		United Kingdo	om +		\$6,903,090.56	\$150,844,945.21
# Format numbers	North America -	Total		2	\$31.695 <u>91</u>	<u>\$151,202,215.61</u>
		Canada	<ul> <li>Total</li> </ul>		\$5,999,422.39	\$151,202,215.61
ntelligent Agents			Alberta	+	\$357,270.40	\$151,202,215.61
Color and Gauge Agents			British Columbia	+	\$85,980.00	\$151,288,195.61
K Visibility Agents			Manitoba	+	\$686,949.33	\$151,975,144.94
Add object Notification Agent on			New Brunswick	+	\$79,998.00	\$152,055,142.94
Accumulated Revenue			Newfoundland	+	\$189,639.60	\$152,244,782.54

Note: The accumulation now continues despite the uneven hierarchy levels.

#### **Siblings Modifier**

- **Expand all Countries** (e.g. by use of the '+' in the upper right corner of the object).
- Add another calculation, Index per Region:

elect Region Index	Territory	Country	Region			mulated Revenue	-
Calculation title:	Total				\$182,541,552.13	\$18,435,672.47	100.00%
Region Index	<u>Asia</u>	— <u>Total</u>			\$44,397,008.43	<u>\$18,435,672.47</u>	24.32%
Enter calculation		Japan	— Total		\$18,435,672.47	\$18,435,672.47	10.10%
sum(d1, 0, m1) / sum(d1, all, m1)			No Region	+	\$18,435,672.47	\$18,435,672.47	10.10%
um(d1, 0, m1) / sum(d1, all, m1)		Malaysia	— Total		\$25,961,935.96	\$44,397,608.43	14.22%
			No Region	+	\$25,961,935.96	\$44,397,608.43	14.22%
	Europe	— <u>Total</u>			\$106,447,336.78	\$44,427,743.43	<u>58.31%</u>
		Denmark	- Total		\$13,235,609.57	\$44,427,743.43	7.25%
Apply calculation			Albertslund	+	\$30,135.00	\$44,427,743.43	0.02%
Cancel			Allerød	+	\$18,840.00	\$44,446,583.43	0.01%
Remove Region Index			Assens	+	\$10,654.80	\$44,457,238.23	0.01%
Move Region Index to the other axis Swap X and Y references			Billund	+	\$37,062.00		
			Bornholm	+	\$29,367.60	\$44,523,667.83	0.02%
ormat Region Index			Esbjerg	+	\$33,696.00	\$44,557,363.83	0.02%
-			Favrskov	+	\$6,540.00	\$44,563,903.83	0.00%
Format numbers			Fredensborg	+	\$7,908.00	\$44,571,811.83	0.00%
			Fredericia	+ (	\$1,651,893.17	\$46,223,705.0	0.90%
telligent Agents			Frederiksberg	+	\$28,392.00	\$46,252,097.00	0.02%
Color and Gauge Agents			Frederikshavn	+	\$32,796.00	\$46,284,893.00	0.02%
Visibility Agents Add object Notification Agent on			Frederiksværk-Hundested	+	\$22,713.60	\$46,307,606.60	0.01%
egion Index			Furesø	+	\$14,094.00	\$46,321,700.60	0.01%

sum(d1, 0, m1) / sum(d1, all, m1). Format as Percent.

**Note:** When no Hierarchy Modifier has been applied, the profit of each Region will be calculated as a percentage of the equivalent of the grand total.

• Add the "siblings" modifier to the calculation:

sum(d-1, 0	, m <b>1)</b> (	% sum(d-1,	all(s), m1).
------------	-----------------	------------	--------------

	Territory	Country	Region		Revenue Ac	cumulated Revenue	Region Index
elect Region Index	Total			\$	182,541,552.13	\$18,435,672.47	100.00%
alculation title:	Asia	- <u>Total</u>		9	\$44,397,608.43	\$18,435,672.47	24.32%
egion Index		Japan	- Total	5	\$18,435,672.47	\$18,435,672.47	41.52%
nter calculation		•	No Region	+	\$18,435,672.47	\$18,435,672.47	100.00%
m(d1, 0, m1) / sum(d1, all(s), m1)		Malaysia	- Total		\$25,961,935.96	\$44,397,608.43	58.48%
			No Region	+	\$25,901,935.96	\$44,397,608.43	100.00%
	Europe	– <u>Total</u>		(s	106,447,336.78	\$44,427,743.43	<u>58.31%</u>
		Denmark	— Total		\$13,235,609.57	\$44,427,743.43	12.43%
Apply calculation			Albertslund	+	\$30,135.00	\$44,427,743.43	0.23%
Cancel			Allerød	+	\$18,840.00	\$44,446,583.43	0.14%
Remove Region Index Move Region Index to the other axis			Assens	+	\$10,654.80	\$44,457,238.23	0.08%
Swap X and Y references			Billund	+	\$37,062.00	\$44,494,300.23	0.28%
			Bornholm	+	\$29,367.60	\$44,523,667.83	0.22%
rmat Region Index			Esbjerg	+	\$33,696.00	\$44,557,363.83	0.25%
Format numbers			Favrskov	+	\$6,540.00	\$44,563,903.83	0.05%
ronnac nambers			Fredensborg	+	\$7,908.00	\$44,571,811.83	0.05%
elligent Agents			Fredericia	+ (	\$1,651,893.17	\$46,223,705.00	12.48%
			Frederiksberg	+	\$28,392.00	\$46,252,097.00	0.21%
Color and Gauge Agents Visibility Agents			Frederikshavn	+	\$32,796.00	\$46,284,893.00	0.25%
Add object Notification Agent on			Frederiksværk-Hundested	+	\$22,713.60	\$46,307,606.60	0.17%
gion Index			Furesø	+	\$14,094.00	\$46,321,700.60	0.11%

**Note:** By adding the "siblings" modifier, the profit of each Region will now be calculated as a percentage of the equivalent of the subtotals for each Country.



#### Level Modifier

- Create a cross table **Profit per Product Hierarchy by Customer Country**.
- Add a new calculated measure Segment % that calculates each Product/Customer Country combination as a percentage of the grand total profit.
- Instead of referring to all columns or all rows on a certain level it will often be relevant to refer to a single column or row at the 'All' level:

#### sum(0, 0, m1) / sum(all, all, m1) -> sum(0, 0, m1) / sum(all, d-1(10), m1)

alculations	🕕 Profit per F	<ol> <li>Profit per Product Hierarchy by Customer Territory</li> </ol>									
act Component V		Total Asia Europe							North America		
lect Segment % culation title:	Product Gro	up	Profit	Segment %	Profit	Segment %	Profit	Segment %	Profit	Segment %	
gment %	Total		\$109,682,794.89	100.00%	\$24,356,666.36	22.21%	\$67,560,859.66	61.60%	\$17,765,268.86	16.20%	
r calculation	JEANS	+	\$57,774,877.23	52.67%	\$10,809,521.42	9.86%	\$37,961,228.54	34.61%	\$9,004,127.27	8.21%	
(0, 0, m1) / sum(all, d-1(l0), m1)	SHIRTS	$^{+}$	\$17,808,203.14	16.24%	\$3,268,995.71	2.98%	\$10,126,292.88	9.23%	\$4,412,914.56	4.02%	
0, 0, m1) / Suman, 0-1(0), m1)	T-SHIRTS	+	\$33,784,343.05	30.80%	\$10,225,857.41	9.32%	\$19,278,607.47	17.58%	\$4,279,878.16	3.90%	
	UNDERWEAR	+	\$315,371,47	0.29%	\$52,291,83	0.05%	\$194,730,77	0.18%	\$68,348.87	0.06%	

Another example of the level parameter being useful can be observed in the simple trend calculation, where the level parameter can make a calculation robust in case of hierarchy expansion.

- Add a crosstab Profit per Product Hierarchy (Product Group) and by Time Hierarchy (Year).
- Calculate a difference between the last 2 columns: Trend = sum(d-1, 0, m1) – sum(d-2, 0, m1)

This calculation always **calculates the 2 last columns** – if the hierarchy is expanded the calculation will calculate the 2 last columns at the expanded level – as shown below:

#### Not expanded:

Calculations	<ol> <li>Profit per Product Hierarchy by Time</li> </ol>									
Select Trend			Total	+ 2014	+ 2015	+ 2016	€ 2017	Trend		
	Total		109,682,795	23,571,784	24,442,377	27,418,004	34,250,630	6,832,626		
Calculation title:	JEANS	+	57,774,877	12,806,668	12,699,361	14,405,998	17,862,850	3,456,852		
Enter calculation	SHIRTS	+	17,808,203	3,569,301	4,277,425	4,335,708	5,625,770	1,290,062		
	T-SHIRTS	+	33,784,343	7,027,940	7,409,533	8,623,000	10,723,869	2,100,869		
sum(d-1, 0, m1) - sum(d-2, 0, m1)	UNDERWEA	R +	315,371	167,875	56,058	53,298	38,141	-15,158		



Partially expanded (now the Trend calculation calculates the difference between Q4 and Q3 in 2015):

<li>Profit pe</li>	r Proc	duct Hierarchy I	oy Time								
		Total	+ 2014	+ 2015	- 2016			_		+ 2017	Trend
					Total	+ Q1	+ Q2	+ Q3	+ Q4	$\mathbf{)}$	
Total		109,682,795	23,571,784	24,442,377	27,418,004	5,468,189	6,497,142	7,088,793	8,363,880	34,250,630	1,275,088
JEANS	+	<u>57,774,877</u>	12,806,668	12,699,361	14,405,998	3,197,781	3,212,369	3,687,897	4,307,951	17,862,850	620,054
SHIRTS	+	<u>17,808,203</u>	3,569,301	4,277,425	4,335,708	890,224	1,084,790	1,079,182	1,281,512	5,625,770	202,330
T-SHIRTS	+	<u>33,784,343</u>	7,027,940	7,409,533	8,623,000	1,359,807	2,175,582	2,325,694	2,761,918	10,723,869	436,224
UNDERWEA	R +	<u>315,371</u>	167,875	56,058	53,298	20,377	24,401	-3,979	12,500	38,141	16,479

This could be the intention – and in that case there is no problem, but if you want to make the calculation robust to expansion of the hierarchy you can use the level parameter.

# Trend = sum(d-1(l1), 0, m1) - sum(d-2(l1), 0, m1)

Profit per	) Profit per Product Hierarchy by Time										
		Total	+ 2014	+ 2015	- 2016	$\mathbf{>}$				+ 2017	Trend
					Total	+ Q1	+ Q2	+ Q3	+ Q4		
Total		109,682,795	23,571,784	24,442,377	27,418,004	5,468,189	6,497,142	7,088,793	8,363,880	34,250,630	6,832,626
JEANS	+	<u>57,774,877</u>	12,806,668	12,699,361	14,405,998	3,197,781	3,212,369	3,687,897	4,307,951	17,862,850	3,456,852
SHIRTS	+	<u>17,808,203</u>	3,569,301	4,277,425	4,335,708	890,224	1,084,790	1,079,182	1,281,512	5,625,770	1,290,062
T-SHIRTS	+	33,784,343	7,027,940	7,409,533	8,623,000	1,359,807	2,175,582	2,325,694	2,761,918	10,723,869	2,100,869
UNDERWEAR	R +	<u>315,371</u>	167,875	56,058	53,298	20,377	24,401	-3,979	12,500	38,141	-15,158


As level 1 in this case specifies the year level the calculation is now robust to expansion.



Levels with multiple dimensions on the same axis

 Add another crosstab looking at Revenue per Salesperson, by Product Hierarchy(Product Group) and by Customer(Territory).

Now make sure that all 3 dimensions are on the same (vertical) axis as shown here:



This creates a special situation in relation to the level parameter. You can actually reference the level of each dimension in one sentence.

This example references the totals of the Salespersons:

#### Salespersons totals: sum(d-1, O(I(1, 0, 0)), m1)

	Salesperson	Product Grou	ıp	<b>Customer Territory</b>	Revenue	Salesperson Total
Select Salesperson Total	Total	Total		Total	\$182,541,552.13	\$3,408,821.77
Calculation title: Salesperson Total	Alvaro Bennett	Total		Total	\$3,408,821.77	\$3,408,821.77
		JEANS	+	Total	\$1,455,560.46	\$3,408,821.77
Enter calculation				Asia	\$330,112.79	\$3,408,821.77
sum(d-1, 0(l(1,0,0)), m1)				Europe	\$1,125,447.67	\$3,408,821.77
		SHIRTS	+	Total	\$886,043.54	\$3,408,821.77
				Asia	\$379,375.46	\$3,408,821.77
				Europe	\$338,849.64	\$3,408,821.77
<ul> <li>Apply calculation</li> </ul>				North America	\$167,818.44	\$3,408,821.77
Cancel		T-SHIRTS	+	Total	\$1,055,239.37	\$3,408,821.77
Remove Salesperson Total Move Salesperson Total to the other				Asia	\$265,306.96	\$3,408,821.77
xis				Europe	\$795,262.81	\$3,408,821.77
Swap X and Y references				North America	(\$5,330.40)	\$3,408,821.77
		UNDERWEAR	+	Total	\$11,978.40	\$3,408,821.77
Format Salesperson Total				Europe	\$11,978.40	\$3,408,821.77
# Format numbers	Annunziata Singh	Total		Total	<u>\$979,942.36</u>	\$979,942.36
		JEANS	+	Total	\$430,645.32	\$979,942.36
ntelligent Agents				Asia	\$98,340.00	\$979,942.36
Color and Gauge Agents				Europe	\$202,117.32	\$979,942.36
Visibility Agents				North America	\$130,188.00	\$979,942.36
Add object Notification Agent on		SHIRTS	+	Total	\$123,684.00	\$979,942.36
alesperson Total				Asia	\$21,204.00	\$979,942.36

**I(1, 0, 0)** means level 1 on the Salesperson dimension and level 0 on Product and Customer Country (level 0 being the "all" level).



# So in short – totals for Products and Customer Country but still within each Salesperson.

To reference the Product subtotals the syntax would be:

# Product Subtotals = sum(d-1, 0(l(1, 1, 0)),m1)

Select Product Total	Salesperson	Product Gr	oup	<b>Customer Territory</b>	Revenue	Product Tota
	Total	Total		Total	\$182,541,552.13	\$1,455,560.46
Calculation title:	Alvaro Bennett	Total		Total	\$3,408,821.77	\$1,455,560.46
Enter calculation		JEANS	+	Total	\$1,455,560.46	\$1,455,560.46
				Asia	\$330,112.79	\$1,455,560.46
sum(d-1, 0(l(1,1,0)), m1)				Europe	\$1,125,447.67	\$1,455,560.46
		SHIRTS	+	Total	\$886,043.54	\$886,043.54
				Asia	\$379,375.46	\$886,043.54
				Europe	\$338,849.64	\$886,043.54
<ul> <li>Apply calculation</li> </ul>				North America	\$167,818.44	\$886,043.54
Cancel		T-SHIRTS	+	Total	\$1,055,239.37	\$1,055,239.37
Remove Product Total Move Product Total to the other axis				Asia	\$265,306.96	\$1,055,239.37
Swap X and Y references				Europe	\$795,262.81	\$1,055,239.37
				North America	(\$5,330.40)	\$1,055,239.37
ormat Product Total		UNDERWEA	<b>R</b> +	Total	\$11,978.40	\$11,978.40
# Format numbers				Europe	\$11,978.40	\$11,978.40
- Connactinations	Annunziata Singh	Total		Total	<u>\$979,942.36</u>	\$430,645.32
ntelligent Agents		JEANS	+	Total	\$430,645.32	\$430,645.32
				Asia	\$98,340.00	\$430,645.32
Color and Gauge Agents				Europe	\$202,117.32	\$430,645.32
Add object Notification Agent on				North America	\$130,188.00	\$430,645.32
roduct Total		SHIRTS	+	Total	\$123,684.00	\$123,684.00
				Asia	\$21,204.00	\$123,684.00

Totals on the Customer Country level – but within each Salesperson/Product Group.



## **Exercises lesson 4**

(Screenshots and exercises are based on version 2018.3 demo data. If you working on an earlier or later version you may need to subtract or add 1 year to achieve similar results.)

Create a new **Top and Bottom analysis** designed to show a list of the **3 best** selling and **3 worst selling Products within each Product Group.** 

- The basis is a cross tab showing **Revenue** per **Product**.
- Add visibility agents to hide Product Groups with less than 6 Products.
- Add visibility agents to hide products **not** in the top/bottom 3 categories.

Tip: It might help to **sort** the list by Revenue.

Tip: The Min and Max functions are <u>not</u> relevant to solve this exercise. Make sure that **subtotals** and **grand totals** are **visible** and **correct** in the final crosstab.

Tip: It will probably be necessary to calculate **intermediate results** to get correct subtotals and totals.

• Add **icon agents** to highlight the **top 3** and **bottom 3** within each Product Group.

The final crosstab should look like this:

<ol> <li>Revenue per P</li> </ol>	roduct Hierarchy		
Product Group	Product	Cor	rected Revenue
JEANS -	Total		\$74,396,949.90
	Marlboro Classic, Brown	1	\$27,409,546.27
	Marlboro Classic, Sand	1	\$23,578,467.65
	Lewis 502, White	1	\$18,103,328.99
	Levis, Blue XXL	+	\$1,846,966.84
	Levis 501, White	+	\$1,836,566.10
	Levis 501, Black	+	\$1,622,074.04
T-SHIRTS –	Total		\$15,057,029.41
	Bosswell, Sand M	1	\$5,607,665.12
	Levis, White M	1	\$5,046,918.36
	Bosswell, White XXL	1	\$4,386,201.35
	Armani, White L	+	\$6,972.00
	Boss, Grey XXL	+	\$4,883.19
	Bosswell, Black M	+	\$4,389.40
SHIRTS –	Total		\$25,829,207.16
	Boss Casual, Blue XL	1	\$11,080,441.85
	Boss Casual, White M	1	\$8,464,644.34
	Boss Casual, White XL	1	\$5,504,098.19
	Boss Casual, Sand S	+	\$382,815.47
	Boss Casual, Sand XL	+	\$333,521.40
	Boss Casual, Blue L	+	\$63,685.92



# Appendix

#### Extra exercise

- Create a new Analysis, **Observation List**, that will be useful in pointing out lowselling, or otherwise questionable products within a given period of time.
- The Analysis must be able to analyze data in any range of periods, e.g. Quarterly or Monthly periods across one or multiple years.
- Products apply to the Observation List when any of these conditions are met:
  - If the product's Revenue, in any period is **negative**.
  - If the product's Revenue, in half or more of the analyzed periods is null (blank).
  - If the product revenue in the **last** period is less than the average revenue of the product across all analyzed periods.
- Furthermore, the list must contain a calculation of each product's total revenue as a percentage of the total revenue within the associated group. (E.g. "Boss, Casual Blue L" total revenue as a percentage of the total revenue of all products in the SHIRTS group.)
- With the global criterion, **Time = 2016**, and with Quarterly periods the Observation List should look like this:

			- 2016					Percentage of Product Groups
			Total	+ Q1	+ Q2	+ Q3	+ <b>Q4</b>	
JEANS	_	Levis, Blue XXL	504,441	132,241	82,853	199,175	90,172	2.06%
SHIRTS	_	Boss Casual, Blue L	23,213			23,213		0.31%
		Boss Casual, Blue S	100,706	10,567	90,138			1.34%
T-SHIRTS	—	Armani, White S	103,400	35,649	32,994	19,079	15,679	0.66%
		Armani, White XL	21,364	-1,048	-5,684	10,187	17,909	0.14%
		Boss Casual, Blue M	14,040				14,040	0.09%
		Boss, Grey XXL	4,883		4,883			0.03%
		Bosswell, Black M	7,784	-764	8,549			0.05%
		Bosswell, Blue L	41,587			17,625	23,962	0.26%
		Bosswell, Blue M	402,450	55,934	128,092	128,092	90,333	2.56%
		Bosswell, White L	132,412	48,504	48,017	24,237	11,654	0.84%
		Levis, Blue XXL	103,896		78,780		25,116	0.66%
		Levis, White M	1,432,993	346,416	360,510	392,301	333,766	9.13%
		Levis, White XL	795,009	124,281	301,010	204,718	165,000	5.06%
UNDERWEA	R —	Total	79,349	30,701	36,605	-7,150	19,192	0.17%
		Armani, Boxer Grey L	30,610	17,160	7,636	-7,150	12,964	38.58%
		Armani, Boxer Grey X	48,739	13,541	28,969		6,229	61.42%



# • .... and with Monthly periods:

			– <u>2016</u>																	Percentage of Product G	Groups
			Total	– Q1				– Q2				- Q3				- Q4					
				Total	+ Jan	+ Feb	+ Mar	Total	+ Apr	+ May	+ Jun	Total	+ Jul	+ Aug	+ Sep	Total	+ Oct	+ Nov	+ Dec		
JEANS	-	Levis 501, Black	<u>418,116</u>	134,590	59,190	57,304	18,096	54,288		36,192	18,096	68,308		18,096	50,212	160,931	89,575	36,068	35,287		1.71%
		Levis, Blue XXL	<u>504,441</u>	132,241	48,578	51,277	32,386	82,853	80,154	2,699		199,175	83,663	52,847	62,666	90,172	39,866	16,193	34,113		2.06%
SHIRTS	-	Boss Casual, Blue L	23,213									23,213		23,213							0.31%
		Boss Casual, Blue S	100,706	10,567			10,567	90,138	45,743	44,395											1.349
		Boss Casual, Sand S	<u>120,666</u>					48,266		48,266		24,133	24,133			48,266			48,266		1.60%
		Boss Casual, Sand XL	<u>84,377</u>	30,950	15,475		15,475	24,024			24,024					29,403	13,928		15,475		1.129
		Boss Casual, White XL	1,442,514	233,163	65,645	41,985	125,533	406,230	218,543	167,032	20,654	297,351	76,488	124,037	96,826	505,770	111,132	319,820	74,818		19.169
T-SHIRTS	-	Armani, Sand S	1,105,883	158,631		15,463	143,169	348,665	121,311	62,088	165,266	229,179	53,364	71,431	104,384	369,407	152,271	128,573	88,563		7.04%
		Armani, White S	<u>103,400</u>	35,649	434	13,452	21,762	32,994	23,915		9,079	19,079	9,422	9,656		15,679			15,679		0.66%
		Armani, White XL	21,364	-1,048	-8,489		7,441	-5,684		-5,684		10,187			10,187	17,909			17,909		0.14%
		Boss Casual, Blue M	14,040													14,040			14,040		0.099
		Boss Casual, Grey XL	<u>144,037</u>	18,814	7,363		11,450	29,453		7,363	22,090	50,585		14,726	35,859	45,186	15,524	21,132	8,529		0.925
		Boss, Grey XXL	4,883					4,883		4,883											0.039
		Bosswell, Black M	7,784	-764		-8,549	7,784	8,549	8,549												0.059
		Bosswell, Blue L	<u>41,587</u>									17,625			17,625	23,962	23,962				0.269
		Bosswell, Blue M	402,450	55,934	36,598	3,050	16,286	128,092	18,299	91,494	18,299	128,092	54,896	36,598	36,598	90,333	63,182	31,450	-4,299		2.569
		Bosswell, White L	132,412	48,504	7,098	26,602	14,804	48,017	12,464	17,776	17,776	24,237	1,039	6,232	16,966	11,654	6,232	5,422			0.849
		Bosswell, White XXL	<u>1,253,454</u>	267,244	60,464	43,253	163,527	305,422	174,673	35,474	95,274	292,671	53,929	116,318	122,423	388,117	167,656	161,390	59,071		7.989
		Levis, Blue L	74,350					24,944			24,944	23,556		23,556		25,849		25,849			0.479
		Levis, Blue XXL	<u>103,896</u>					78,780	25,116	28,548	25,116					25,116		25,116			0.669
		Levis, Lime M	<u>141,968</u>	17,722			17,722	17,722			17,722					106,525	88,804		17,722		0.909
		Levis, Sand XXL	80,275	17,722			17,722					17,722			17,722	44,832	29,640	13,053	2,139		0.519
		Levis, White M	<u>1,432,993</u>	346,416		111,796	234,620	360,510	170,988	25,506	164,016	392,301	65,745	132,199	194,357	333,766	134,031	127,621	72,114		9.139
		Levis, White M 600	446,200	124,829	71,487	30,785	22,558	104,486	36,488	38,923	29,075	87,617		50,236	37,381	129,268	72,893	32,869	23,505		2.849
		Levis, White XL	<u>795,009</u>	124,281	-41,574	4,670	161,185	301,010	131,242	85,716	84,053	204,718		126,269	78,449	165,000	104,295	32,687	28,018		5.069
JNDERWEAR	R —	Total	79,349	30,701	6,770		23,930	36,605	28,969	7,636		-7,150	-7,150			19,192			19,192		0.179
		Armani, Boxer Grey L	<u>30,610</u>	17,160			17,160	7,636		7,636		-7,150	-7,150			12,964			12,964		38.589
		Armani, Boxer Grey X	48,739	13,541	6,770		6,770	28,969	28,969							6,229			6,229		61.42%



# Extra exercise – continued

- Make visible subtotals on the Product Group level.
- Make sure that the subtotals display the sum of the visible products only.
- With Time = 2016 and Quarterly periods the Observation List should look like this:

		- 2016					Percentage of Product Groups
		Total	+ Q1	+ Q2	+ Q3	+ Q4	
Product Group	Product	Revenue	Revenue	Revenue	Revenue	Revenue	
Total		47,772,999	10,224,630	11,596,532	10,920,155	15,031,683	<u>100.00%</u>
JEANS –	Total	504,441	132,241	82,853	199,175	90,172	1.06%
	Levis, Blue XXL	504,441	132,241	82,853	199,175	90,172	2.06%
SHIRTS –	Total	123,918	10,567	90,138	23,213	0	0.26%
	Boss Casual, Blue L	23,213	0	0	23,213	0	0.31%
	Boss Casual, Blue S	100,706	10,567	90,138	0	0	1.34%
T-SHIRTS –	Total	3,059,819	608,972	957,151	796,238	697,458	6.40%
	Armani, White S	103,400	35,649	32,994	19,079	15,679	0.66%
	Armani, White XL	21,364	-1,048	-5,684	10,187	17,909	0.14%
	Boss Casual, Blue M	14,040	0	0	0	14,040	0.09%
	Boss, Grey XXL	4,883	0	4,883	0	0	0.03%
	Bosswell, Black M	7,784	-764	8,549	0	0	0.05%
	Bosswell, Blue L	41,587	0	0	17,625	23,962	0.26%
	Bosswell, Blue M	402,450	55,934	128,092	128,092	90,333	2.56%
	Bosswell, White L	132,412	48,504	48,017	24,237	11,654	0.84%
	Levis, Blue XXL	103,896	0	78,780	0	25,116	0.66%
	Levis, White M	1,432,993	346,416	360,510	392,301	333,766	9.13%
	Levis, White XL	795,009	124,281	301,010	204,718	165,000	5.06%
UNDERWEAR -	Total	79,349	30,701	36,605	-7,150	19,192	0.17%
	Armani, Boxer Grey L	30,610	17,160	7,636	-7,150	12,964	38.58%
	Armani, Boxer Grey X	48,739	13,541	28,969	0	6,229	61.42%



# Functions in the Targit Syntax

Here is a list of available functions.

Function	Description
Sum (default)	Summarizes the measures in the cell ranges. (cells defaults to sum)
Count	Counts the number of cells in the cell range having any measure value. (normally used for counting dimension values)
Allcount	Counts the number of all cells in the cell range regardless of measure values.
Max	Returns the maximum value for measures in the cell range.
Min	Returns the minimum value for measures in the cell range.
Stdev	Returns standard deviation for measures in the cell range.
Avg	Returns average for measures in the cell range.
Median	Returns the median for measures in the cell range.
Rankasc	Returns the rank, ascending order, for measures in the cell range.
Rankdesc	Returns the rank, descending order, for measures in the cell range.

As explained above, the general usage is :

Function(cell range x, cell range y, measure list (m1;m2;m3... etc).

Cell range can be just a single value like d1 or a range like d1:d3 (1st to 3rd) or all.


**Measure list** can be a single measure like m1 or a list of measures like m1;m2. ( $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$ ).



# **Result modifiers**

Function	Description
Abs(x)	Returns the absolute value of x
	Examples:
	Abs(-3) =3
	Abs(0) = 0
	Abs(3) = 3
Ceil(x)	Returns the smallest integer not less
	than x
	Examples: $C_{1}$
	Ceil(3.01) = 4
	Ceil(3.5) = 4
Distant	Ceil $(3.99) = 4$
Div(x;y)	Returns the result of x/y with the
	fractional part discarded. (Integer
	division)
	Examples: Div(10;3) = 3
	Div(10,3) = -3
	Div(-10;-3) = -3 Div(-10;-3) = 3
Floor(x)	Returns the largest integer not greater
	than x
	Examples:
	Floor(3.01) = $3$
	Floor(3.5) =3
	Floor(3.99) =3
Int(x)	Returns the integer part of x.
	Examples:
	Int(3.25) =3
	Int(-3.25) = -3
Mod(x;y)	Returns the remainder of x/y
	Examples:
	Mod(7;3) = 1
	Mod(-7;3) = -1
Round(x;y)	Rounds the number x with y numbers of
	decimals (if y is negative the integer part
	of a number is rounded)
	Examples:
	Round(7.21;1) = 7.2
	Round(7.125;2) = 7.13
	Round(133;-1) = 130





# **Dimension structure and naming**

Children: only include children of the current element.

Ragged: include elements that have a given number of children (part of a ragged hierarchy) Leaves: The special "leaves" filter (short: "rl") is the exact same as ragged on level zero, "r0"



# **TARGIT** formula syntax

#### **Arithmetic operators**

Please note that operators listed first are 'stronger' than the later ones, e.g. multiplication is stronger than addition.

After all, 2 + 3 * 4 equals 14; if addition had been stronger (or equally strong) it would have been 20.

Operator	Description
-	Unary minus, negates the expression, e.g5.
٨	Power, e.g. 5 ^ 2 = 25, and 25 ^ 0.5 = 5.
*, /, %	Multiplication, division and a new division operator, which simply divides and then multiplies the result by 100.
+, -	Addition and subtraction

#### **Boolean operators**

All Boolean operators return 1 ('nonzero') if the condition is met and 0 if it is not. The operator 'not' can be used to negate an expression, but remember to use parenthesis, as 'not' is stronger than all other operators: 'not 1 > -1' is nonzero, while 'not (1 > -1)' is zero. The other Boolean operators are all weaker than the arithmetic operators.

Operator	Description
Not	Nonzero if the expression after 'not' is zero, otherwise zero.
<, <=, >, >=, =, <>	Value comparison operators.
And	Nonzero if the expressions on either side of 'and' are both nonzero.
Or	Nonzero if one or both of the expressions on either side of 'or' is nonzero.



## Other operators

Operator	Description
[label:]()	Use parenthesis to group expressions, e.g. to make '(2+3)*4' equal 20.
	Also, by supplying a label, the expression can be used more than once in a statement without having to copy it textually. A complex expression that you want to use several times is easier to only have to adjust in one place; -or if, in spite of the added Boolean operators, you have to use the same expression in two different branches of an 'if-then- else' expression.
	The label name can contain the letters A-Z, underscore ('_') and 0-9. The first letter of the label can only be A-Z or underscore.
	E.g: 'if AccumAvg:(avg(d-1,d1:0,m1)) <> 0 then
	sum(d-1,0,m1) % AccumAvg else 100'
if [A] then [B] else [C]	Evaluates to B if A is nonzero, or to C if A is zero.

# **Aggregation functions**

Function	Description
sum( [element sets] )	Simple sum of elements
count([element sets])	Number of non-empty elements
allcount([element sets])	Number of elements, both empty and non-empty.
stdev([element sets])	Standard deviation of elements
avg([element sets])	Average of elements
max( [element sets] )	Maximal value found in elements
min([element sets])	Minimal value found in elements



#### Element reference modifiers

The element references can be filtered by appending a list of modifier names to the element range.

E.g. 'sum(d-1, d1:0 (visible, siblings), m1)' gives the accumulated sum of the first measure of the last column, but includes only the visible elements, and only the elements that are siblings to the current row.

As a shorthand, the abbreviation letter in the table below can be supplied instead of the entire name.

Visibility modifier	Short	Description
all	a	Both visible and hidden elements are included.
		This is the default visibility filter.
visible	V	Only visible elements are included.
hidden	h	Only hidden elements are included.
Hierarchy modifier	Short	Description
level	I	Only elements on the same hierarchy levels in the dimensions as the current element are included.
		This is the default hierarchy filter.
		If an integer >= 0 immediately follows this filter name, e.g. 'I2', then only the values in level 2 are included, with level zero being the grand total.
siblings	S	Only elements with the same hierarchy parents in the dimensions as the current element are included.



children	C	curre that	Only elements that are nested inside the current element are included. Please note that only data references can be used with this filter.			
		Example: This filter can be used with an if- then-else operator for making subtotals that only include the visible elements, when some elements have been hidden by a Visibility Agent:				
		if allcount(0,d1:d-1(c),m1) > 0 then sum(0,d1:d- 1(v,c),m1) else sum(0,0,m1)				
ragged	r	This filter is useful e.g. for making an accumulation which follows the current expansion of single elements:				
			Time		Turnover	Accumulated
			Total		750	750
			2000		500	500
			2001	Total	-400	-400
				Q1	250	750
				Q2	300	1050
				Q3	-500	550
				Q4	-450	100
			2002		250	350
			2003		400	750
		Like with the 'level' filter, an integer > follow this filter name, e.g. 'r0'. In rag filter, however, this value is somewhat complicated to explain: Level zero are the leaf elements, i.e. t elements that have no children. Level their parents. In the example above, 2001 is on level one. Level two are the elements that have level 1 children (g total above), etc.			In ragged newhat more s, i.e. the . Level one are bove, only o are the	



leaves	rl	Only elements with no children are included. This is the exact same as 'r0'.
Order modifier	Short	Description
sorted	0	Relative and data references are indexed according to the current sorting of the grid. This is the default order filter.
unsorted	u	Relative and data references are indexed according to the order of the dimension values in the cube.



# Template metadata

If you want to reuse a calculation, it may be a good idea to put some flexibility into your calculation, so that e.g. the choice of which value should be used as index 100 can be changed easily, from the smartpad instead of having to edit the formula manually. To tell the SmartCalculations editor that there is such a customizable reference in the formula, you must supply the metadata (name, default value etc.) for the ranges in question.

The metadata for a range are given in square brackets after the range, just as range filters are given in parenthesis.

Metadata element	Syntax example	Description
Parameter name	d1 ["A"]	Name, identifies the parameter. Must always be present. All ranges with the same name are synchronized.
Allow range	d1 ["A":range]	Allow the user to select both starting and ending point.
Editable filters	d1 ["A":filter(v,h,o) ]	Allow the user to edit the given filter types.
		Filters: v = visibility, h = hierarcy, o = order.
		If the parenthesis are missing, all filters can be edited.



Metadata element	Syntax example	Description
Default value	d1 ["A"=0]	When a template is used to add a new calculation, the default reference can be specified here.
		If a relative reference is given, like it is in this example, it is modified unless the calculation is added 'for all dimension values', i.e. as a custom measure.
		If it is greater than zero, it is modified to a left-to-right data reference, e.g. 2 => d2.
		If it is less than or equal to zero, it is modified to a right-to-left data reference, e.g. 0 => d-1 and -1 => d-2.
		In this way, if the template is designed as a custom measure, it can reasonably easily be added as calculated columns and rows too.
Description	d1 ["A","Source data"]	Short explanation to be shown in the list of parameters and on the edit page of this parameter.
d1(v) ["Base" 100"]	:filter=d1,"Index	

The metadata for measures are like the metadata for ranges, except the ':range' and ':filter' elements are not available.